



**Horsham
District
Council**



**2018 Annual Status Report (ASR)
for
Horsham District Council**

In fulfillment of Part IV of the
Environment Act 1995
Local Air Quality Management

June 2018

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Local Authority Officer | Anna Czerska Adam Dracott |
| | |
| Department | Environmental Health Department |
| Address | Parkside, Chart Way, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 1RL |
| Telephone | 01403 215292 |
| e-mail | anna.czerska@horsham.gov.uk adam.dracott@horsham.gov.uk |
| | |
| Report Reference number | HDC/ASR2018/AC |
| Date | 30/06/2018 |

Executive Summary of Air Quality in Our Area

This report details the results of air quality monitoring undertaken in 2017 across Horsham District and is prepared in accordance with the guidance issue by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra).

Local Authorities across the United Kingdom are required to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives set by the Government are likely to be achieved. Where exceedances are considered likely, the local authority must then declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

Air Quality in Horsham District

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}. The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion³. Improving air quality is essential for making sure we live in a healthy environment and breathe clean air.

This report considers new monitoring data and actions taken to improve air quality during 2017.

Horsham district is primarily agricultural in character and does not incorporate a significant heavy industrial base or major transport hubs. The main source of air pollution locally are road traffic emissions from major roads, notably the A24, which intersects the district north – south; A264 to the north of Horsham; A272 and A281 at Cowfold; and A283 at Storrington. Two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) have been declared in the district in the village of Cowfold and town centre of Storrington, both for the exceedances of the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). Air Quality Action Plans (AQAP) were prepared for both AQMAs; the Storrington AQAP was submitted to Defra in 2012 and the Cowfold AQAP in 2013.

Although the work under the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) is the legal obligation of district councils, actions aimed at improving air quality most of the time require the cooperation of other departments and organisations. Horsham District Council (HDC) works in cooperation with other stakeholders, such as planning, Public Health England, West Sussex County Council (WSCC) highways, neighbouring districts, Sussex-Air Partnership and the Environment Agency. The assessment and

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

implementation of the identified traffic management schemes is done in cooperation with WSCC as they are the authority responsible for roads and transport management. Steering groups were set up for each of the AQMAs. The steering groups have contributed to the development of the Action Plans and are the decision making body for the action plan measures to be taken forward. The Council is consulted on planning applications for HDC Development Management and WSCC minerals and waste.

The monitoring results for 2017 indicate that three monitoring locations exceeded the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) in 2017: Storrington 1,2 (Manleys Hill), Storrington 19n (jct of Manley's Hill and School Hill) and Cowfold 7n (3 Huntscroft Gardens, Bolney Road). Cowfold 7n marginally complied with the objective after distance correction has been applied to estimate the concentration at the nearest relevant exposure. The three sites are located within the existing AQMAs. This demonstrates that the Storrington and Cowfold AQMAs are still required. The boundaries of the Storrington and Cowfold AQMAs can remain unchanged.

No other monitoring sites within the district exceeded the air quality objectives for NO₂ in 2017.

Most of the diffusion tube monitoring sites have shown a decrease in 2017 on the previous year. Long-term sites have shown a continuing overall downward trend over the monitoring period, indicative of a gradual improvement in fleet emissions.

Regarding PM₁₀, automatic monitoring at the Horsham Park Way site indicates that both the annual mean and 24-hour UK objective for PM₁₀ were complied with in 2017 and all the previous years of monitoring. Monitoring results collated from three permanent monitoring sites in the South East region show that both the annual mean and 24-hour UK objective for PM₁₀ were complied with in 2017 and all the previous years.

Regional monitoring for PM_{2.5} has shown that the selected sites complied with the national annual mean limit value in 2017 and all previous years. All sites have shown a decreasing trend throughout the monitoring period.

Actions to Improve Air Quality

Horsham District Council has taken forward a number of measures during the current reporting year of 2017 in pursuit of improving local air quality. The key actions completed in 2017 are:

- A Traffic Regulation Order has been produced to prohibit waiting, loading and unloading at any time at a traffic bottleneck in North Street at its junction with Storrington High Street and this has been undergoing consultation;
- The Chanctonbury CLC accepted a proposal for a TRO to include a lorry weight restriction on School Hill to resolve conflicts with lorry turning movements at the mini-roundabout of Manley's Hill and School Hill in Storrington. The scheme has undergone a preliminary design and has now progressed to costing;

Horsham District Council

- An application for a scheme to install advisory signs advising lorries to use alternative routes to the A272 (so as to avoid the Cowfold AQMA) was accepted for consideration through the West Sussex County Council Community Highways Scheme process to understand the likely costs and benefits of the scheme and whether the scheme is deliverable.
- The list of air quality planning conditions has been updated. Environmental Health continues working with planning policy and development control to secure air quality mitigation from new development;
- The Council has initiated meetings with the other districts in West Sussex to discuss improvements to the ev charging network. HDC has also commenced joint work with Crawley DC and Mid-Sussex DC on a project to improve ev charging facilities in these districts.

The achievement of congestion improvement measures in Storrington and Cowfold has been challenging as there are no easy solutions, and many of the solutions fall outside the power of HDC to implement. Horsham District Council continues to work with WSCC to explore traffic management measures to reduce congestion and improve air quality. This has included revisiting and reviewing the evidence from all previous measures identified to understand what impacts these would be likely to have in terms of improving air quality, and whether the measures would be deliverable and provide value for money. A number of these measures are continuing to be explored. Funding remains the principal challenge for progressing the measures identified as the most effective to improve air quality.

Local Priorities and Challenges

The Council's priorities for the coming year are:

- Finalising the update of the *Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance*;
- Progressing delivery of those traffic management / congestion improvement schemes for Storrington and Cowfold which have been identified as deliverable in the 2017 review; and Progressing the expansion of the district's ev charging point network.

How to Get Involved

Two air quality Steering Groups have regular meetings in the district: Storrington Steering Group and Cowfold Steering group. Their objective is to progress the work on the Storrington and Cowfold Action Plans. Each group is a partnership of Councillors and officers from Horsham District Council and West Sussex County Council and includes representatives from the Parish Council. If you would like to obtain further information on the work being done please visit the Horsham District Council website or contact:

- Environmental Health: tel. 01403 215609; email: publichealth.licensing@horsham.gov.uk
- <https://www.horsham.gov.uk/environmentalhealth/environmental-health/air-quality>

Table of contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Executive Summary of Air Quality in Our Area | i |
| Air Quality in Horsham District..... | i |
| Actions to Improve Air Quality | ii |
| Local Priorities and Challenges | iii |
| How to Get Involved | iii |
| 1 Local Air Quality Management | 1 |
| 2 Actions to Improve Air Quality | 2 |
| 2.1 Air Quality Management Areas | 2 |
| 2.1.1 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments..... | 2 |
| 2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to Address Air Quality in Horsham District..... | 7 |
| District Wide Action Plan Measures..... | 9 |
| Storrington Air Quality Action Plan..... | 10 |
| Cowfold Air Quality Action Plan | 14 |
| 2.3 PM _{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations | 31 |
| 3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance | 33 |
| 3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken..... | 33 |
| 3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites..... | 33 |
| 3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites..... | 33 |
| 3.2 Individual Pollutants..... | 35 |
| 3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)..... | 35 |
| 3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)..... | 39 |
| 3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) | 40 |
| 3.2.4 Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂) | 41 |
| 3.2.5 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives | 42 |
| Appendix A: Monitoring Results for 2017 | 44 |
| Appendix B: Full Monitoring Results for 2017 | 66 |
| Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC | 83 |
| Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors..... | 83 |
| Factor from Local Co-location Studies..... | 83 |
| Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use..... | 85 |
| Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment..... | 88 |
| PM ₁₀ Monitoring Adjustment..... | 90 |
| QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring..... | 90 |
| QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring | 90 |
| Monitoring Results – Distance Correction | 91 |
| Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs | 92 |
| Appendix E: Industrial Processes | 103 |

Appendix F: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England 105
Glossary of Terms 106
References..... 107

List of Tables

Table 2.1 - Declared Air Quality Management Areas4
 Table 2.2 - Summary of Air Quality Review and Assessment Reports and Conclusions for Horsham District Council....4
 Table 2.3 - Progress and Impact of AQAP Measures (2015) 18

Table A1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites 44
 Table A2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites 45
 Table A3 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of NO₂: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2006 – 2017 48
 Table A4 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of NO₂: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective 2006 – 2017 48
 Table A5 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM₁₀: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2007 – 2017 50
 Table A6 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM₁₀: Comparison with 24-hour Mean Objective 2007 – 2017 51
 Table A7 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM_{2.5}: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2010 – 2017 53
 Table A8 – Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2017 55
 Table A9 – Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2017) 59

Table B1 – Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2017 66

Table C1 – Co-location Study Data for HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017 83
 Table C2 – Co-location Study Data for HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017 84
 Table C3 – Co-location Study Data for HO5 Cowfold, 2017 85
 Table C4 – Co-location Study Data 2007 – 2017 86
 Table C5 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Henfield 1n Diffusion Tube Site 88
 Table C6 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Henfield 2n Diffusion Tube Site 89
 Table C7 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Horsham 10n Diffusion Tube Site 89
 Table C8 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Southwater 1n Diffusion Tube Site 89

Table E1 – Industrial Processes with Permits Issued by the Environment Agency, 2017 103
 Table E2 – Industrial Processes in 2017 104

Table F1 - Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LAQM in England105

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 - Map of Storrington AQMA Boundary 6
 Figure 2.2 - Map of Cowfold AQMA Boundary 6

Figure A1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2006 – 2017 49
 Figure A2 – Trends in Annual Mean PM₁₀ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2007 – 2017 52
 Figure A3 – Trends in Annual Mean PM_{2.5} Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2010 – 2017 54
 Figure A4 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017:
 Horsham 62
 Figure A5 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017:
 Storrington 63
 Figure A6 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017:
 Cowfold 64
 Figure A7 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017:
 Billingshurst; Henfield; Pulborough, Southwater & Steyning 65

Figure B1 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO₂ & PM₁₀ Concentrations, HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017 68
 Figure B2 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 24-hr mean PM₁₀ Concentrations, HO2 Horsham Park Way &
 Comparison Sites, 2017 69

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure B3 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017 | 70 |
| Figure B4 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for PM ₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way & Comparison Sites, 2017 | 71 |
| Figure B5 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO ₂ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017 | 72 |
| Figure B6 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for PM ₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017 | 73 |
| Figure B7 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO ₂ Concentrations, HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017 | 74 |
| Figure B8 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO ₂ at HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017 | 75 |
| Figure B9 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO ₂ at HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017 .. | 76 |
| Figure B10 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO ₂ Concentrations, HO5 Cowfold, 2017 | 77 |
| Figure B11 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO ₂ at HO5 Cowfold, 2017 | 78 |
| Figure B12 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO ₂ at HO5 Cowfold, 2017 | 79 |
| Figure B13 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean PM _{2.5} Concentrations: Comparison Sites, 2017 | 80 |
| Figure B14 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for PM _{2.5} : Comparison Sites, 2017 | 81 |
| Figure B15 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for PM _{2.5} at Eastbourne, 2017 | 82 |
| | |
| Figure C1 – Nitrogen Dioxide Fall off with Distance Calculation – Diffusion Tube Storrington 14n (2017)..... | 91 |
| Figure C2 – Nitrogen Dioxide Fall off with Distance Calculation – Diffusion Tube Cowfold 7n (2017) | 91 |
| | |
| Figure D1 – Location of Horsham Monitoring Station | 92 |
| Figure D2 – Location of Storrington Air Quality Monitoring Station | 93 |
| Figure D3 – Location of Cowfold Air Quality Monitoring Station | 94 |
| Figure D4 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Horsham | 95 |
| Figure D5 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Storrington | 96 |
| Figure D6 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Cowfold..... | 97 |
| Figure D7 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Henfield | 98 |
| Figure D8 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Pulborough | 99 |
| Figure D9 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Billingshurst | 100 |
| Figure D10 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Southwater | 101 |
| Figure D11 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Steyning..... | 102 |

1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Horsham District during 2017. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by Horsham District Council to improve air quality and the progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in Table F1 in Appendix F.

Horsham District is a predominantly rural area with a population of 126 000. The total area is 205 square miles. Horsham is the main town and the principal administrative and commercial centre within the district with a population of around 40 000.

Horsham District is well served by transport links to London, Gatwick Airport, the M25 and the coast. A network of subsidiary routes connects the villages and small centres of population. Emissions from road transport remains the main source of air pollution in the district.

A large proportion of the district is composed of countryside with a varied landscape of woodland, heathland, downland, river valleys and meadows being represented. Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Sites of Special Scientific Interest, and Sites of Nature Conservation Importance overlap the area. At the southern end of the district is the South Downs National Park. Agriculture remains a major user of land within the district. Significant industrial premises include a mechanical biological waste treatment facility and landfill site to the north of Horsham town and two brickworks.

The main source of air pollution in the district is road traffic emissions from major roads, notably the A24, A272 and A283, A281 and A264. Two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) have been declared in the district, both for the exceedances of the annual mean nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) objective: Storrington AQMA was declared in December 2010 in the town centre of Storrington along the A283 and Cowfold AQMA was declared in September 2011 in the village centre of Cowfold along the A272/A281.

Steering groups were set up in the community for each of the AQMAs. The work of the steering groups contributed largely to the development of Action Plans for the AQMAs.

2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months, setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

A summary of AQMAs declared by Horsham District Council can be found in Table 2.1. Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2 show the boundaries of the declared AQMAs. Further information related to declared or revoked AQMAs, is available online at <http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/list?la=H>. A draft AQAP was prepared for both AQMAs; the Storrington AQAP was submitted to Defra in 2012 and the Cowfold AQAP in 2013 (Table 2.1).

2.1.1 Summary of Previous Review and Assessments

Under the Environment Act 1995, local authorities are required to Review and Assess (R&A) air quality on a regular basis. A review of air quality means a consideration of the levels of pollutants in the air for which objectives are prescribed in Regulations⁴, and estimations of likely future levels. An assessment of air quality is the consideration of whether estimated levels for the relevant future period are likely to exceed the levels set in the objectives. A table of reports published is presented in Table 2.2 below.

The first review and assessment round was completed in 2000. The main conclusion was that the national air quality objectives were not likely to be exceeded at any locations in the district.

This first round of R&A constituted a benchmark against which Horsham District Council (the Council) measure progress in making improvements to the local air quality. Subsequent progress reports were completed in 2004 and 2005. In 2006 an Updating and Screening Assessment was completed. In all these reports no exceedance of air quality objectives was identified or predicted, which were the conclusions based on the results from the monitoring locations in operation at that time.

The Progress Reports submitted in 2007 and 2008 identified an exceedance of the air quality annual mean objective for NO₂ in Storrington and Cowfold and the need for Detailed Assessments for both locations was acknowledged. Steps were taken to install continuous monitoring equipment at both locations in order to proceed to the detailed assessment stage.

The Updating and Screening Assessment submitted in 2009 confirmed continued exceedances of the air quality objective for NO₂ at Storrington and Cowfold on the basis of diffusion tube monitoring results and the detailed assessment study of these areas begun.

⁴ Air Quality Regulations for England (2000; Amendment Regulations 2002)

Horsham District Council

The 2010 Progress Report provided an update on air quality within the district and confirmed a continued exceedance of the air quality objective for NO₂ at Storrington and Cowfold. In accordance with the requirements of the LAQM framework the Council submitted the Detailed Assessments of air quality for these villages.

Following recommendations from the Detailed Assessments reports, the Council declared two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA), Storrington in December 2010 and Cowfold in October 2011. Maps showing the AQMA boundaries for both locations are provided in Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2 overleaf.

The Further Assessment report for Storrington, submitted in March 2012, confirmed the findings of the Detailed Assessment and the AQMA in Storrington remained as originally declared. The Further Assessment report for Cowfold village was submitted to Defra in October 2012.

The declaration of AQMAs committed the Council to taking actions towards achieving the air quality objectives in the AQMA. In October 2012 Horsham District Council produced a draft AQAP for Storrington AQMA which was subject to public consultation during February/March 2013. The Action Plan for Cowfold was submitted to Defra in September 2013.

The Progress Reports produced in 2013 and 2014 confirmed continued exceedances of the annual mean air quality objective for NO₂ within the existing two AQMAs and updated the Action Plans for both Cowfold and Storrington.

The Updating and Screening Assessment completed in 2015 confirmed that the annual mean NO₂ concentrations continued to exceed or be close to exceeding the objective in the AQMAs in Cowfold and Storrington; as such, the AQMAs remain valid. The USA report included the Action Plan Progress Report for the Storrington and Cowfold AQMAs. The assessment of sources identified relevant exposure close to the Gatwick airport boundary that had not been previously assessed. It was recommended that a decision on a requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessment in respect of this area is taken after the Airport Commission has given its recommendation on the airport expansion.

The decision on Gatwick expansion was taken in 2015 and Gatwick had not been considered the best option for the national airport capacity expansion. Still, diffusion tube monitoring was undertaken in 2016 at a receptor in Bonnetts Lane, Crawley, near the airport boundary, in order to determine the NO₂ concentrations in this area, as the current total equivalent passenger throughput exceeds the threshold defined by the TG(16) guidance. The results from the site showed that annual mean NO₂ concentrations were well below 40µg/m³, which indicates that exceedances of the objective in that area are unlikely. This has been reported in the 2017 Annual Status Report (ASR).

Table 2.1 - Declared Air Quality Management Areas

| AQMA Name | Date of Declaration | Pollutants and Air Quality Objectives | City / Town | One Line Description | Is air quality in the AQMA influenced by roads controlled by Highways England? | Level of Exceedance (maximum monitored/modelled concentration at a location of relevant exposure) | | Action Plan | | |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | At Declaration | Now | Name | Date of Publication | Link |
| Storrington | December 2010 | NO ₂ – annual mean | Storrington | Storrington town centre incorporating West Street, the High Street, and part of School Hill and Manleys Hill. | No - WSCC | 50.2µg/m ³ (Storrington 1,2) 39.3µg/m ³ * (Storrington 11n) | 40.7µg/m ³ (Storrington 1,2) 37.5µg/m ³ (Storrington 11n) 56.4µg/m ³ (Storrington 19n) | AQAP for Storrington | October 2012 | HDC website ¹ |
| Cowfold | October 2011 | NO ₂ – annual mean | Cowfold | Cowfold town centre incorporating The Street, part of Station Road and Bolney Road. | No - WSCC | 40.5µg/m ³ (Cowfold 1,2) 45.9µg/m ³ (Cowfold 7n) | 37.6µg/m ³ (Cowfold 1,2) 43.8µg/m ³ (Cowfold 7n) | AQAP for Cowfold | September 2013 | HDC website ² |

* Annual mean concentration in 2011

¹ <https://www.horsham.gov.uk/environmentalhealth/environmental-health/air-quality/storrington-air-quality>

² <https://www.horsham.gov.uk/environmentalhealth/environmental-health/air-quality/cowfold-air-quality>

Table 2.2 - Summary of Air Quality Review and Assessment Reports and Conclusions for Horsham District Council

| Year | Report | Conclusions |
|--------------|---|--|
| 2000 | Review and Assessment | No exceedance of air quality objectives identified or predicted |
| 2003 | Review and Assessment | No exceedance of air quality objectives identified or predicted |
| 2004 | Progress Report | No exceedance of air quality objectives identified or predicted |
| 2005 | Progress Report | No exceedance of air quality objectives identified or predicted |
| 2006 | Update and Screening Assessment | No exceedance of air quality objectives identified or predicted |
| 2007 | Progress Report | Detailed assessment required for NO ₂ in Cowfold and Storrington |
| 2008 | Progress Report | Detailed assessment for NO ₂ required in Cowfold and Storrington |
| 2009 | Update and Screening Assessment | Detailed assessment for NO ₂ required in Cowfold and Storrington |
| 2010 | Progress Report | Detailed assessment for NO ₂ required in Cowfold and Storrington. |
| 2010 | Detailed Assessment for Storrington | Declaration of AQMA |
| 2011 | Detailed Assessment for Cowfold | Declaration of AQMA under consultation. |
| 2012 | Further Assessment Storrington | Report confirmed findings of Detailed Assessment 2010 |
| 2012 | Action Plan Storrington | Submitted to Defra October 2012 |
| 2012 | Further Assessment Cowfold | Report confirmed findings of Detailed Assessment 2011. |
| 2012 | Updating and Screening Assessment | Report confirmed AQMAs justified in Storrington and Cowfold. |
| 2013 | Progress Report | Report confirmed AQMAs justified in Storrington and Cowfold. Action Plans updated. |
| 2013 | Action Plan Cowfold | Submitted to Defra September 2013 |
| 2014 | Progress Report | Report confirmed AQMAs justified in Storrington and Cowfold. Action Plans updated. |
| 2015 | Updating and Screening Assessment (USA) | Monitoring data for 2014 confirmed that annual mean NO ₂ concentrations continued to exceed or be close to exceeding the objective in the AQMAs at Cowfold and Storrington; as such, the AQMAs remain valid. The assessment of sources identified relevant exposure within 1000m of the Gatwick airport boundary that has not been previously assessed. The USA report recommended that a decision on a requirement to proceed to a Detailed Assessment in respect of this area be taken after the Airport Commission has given its recommendation on the airport expansion. |
| 2016 2017 | Annual Status Report | Report confirmed AQMAs justified in Storrington and Cowfold. There were no monitoring sites exceeding the objectives for NO ₂ outside the AQMAs in 2016 and 2017. Action Plans updated. The results from a site near Gatwick Airport showed annual mean NO ₂ concentrations well below the objective. |

Figure 2.1 - Map of Storrington AQMA Boundary





Figure 2.2 - Map of Cowfold AQMA Boundary



2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to Address Air Quality in Horsham District

Horsham District Council (HDC) and West Sussex County Council have taken forward a number of measures during the current reporting year of 2017 in pursuit of improving local air quality. Details of all measures completed, in train or planned are set out in Table 2.3. More detail on these measures can be found in their respective Action Plans: AQAP for Storrington⁵ and AQAP for Cowfold⁶.

The key actions completed in 2017 are:

- Traffic Regulation Order has been produced to prohibit waiting, loading and unloading at any time on sections of North Street, The Square and High Street in Storrington. The TRO is currently undergoing consultation;
- The Chanctonbury CLC accepted a proposal for a TRO to prohibit lorry movements into School Hill at the mini-roundabout of Manley's Hill and School Hill in Storrington. The scheme has undergone a preliminary design and has now progressed to costing;
- An application for a scheme to install advisory signs advising lorries to use alternative routes to the A272 (so as to avoid the Cowfold AQMA) is being considered through the West Sussex County Council Community Highways Scheme process to understand the likely costs and benefits of the scheme and whether the scheme is deliverable;
- The list of air quality planning conditions has been updated. Environmental Health continues working with planning policy and development control to secure air quality mitigation from new development;
- The Council has initiated meetings with the other districts in West Sussex to discuss improvements to the ev charging network. HDC has also commenced joint work with Crawley DC and Mid-Sussex DC on a project to improve ev charging facilities in the area.
- Consultation responses to the A27 improvement programmes proposals by Highways England have been submitted for the schemes around Chichester, Arundel and Worthing/Lancing.

The measures proposed to address air quality issues in Storrington and Cowfold AQMA are subject to periodic review in respect of their deliverability. The most recent review has identified a number of measures as deliverable; those are:

- Prohibition of lorries turning right into School Hill from Manley's Hill within the Storrington AQMA;

⁵ https://www.horsham.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0013/5431/Storrington-AQ-ActionPlan-draft.pdf

⁶ https://www.horsham.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/14494/Cowfold-AQ-Action-Plan-drafftinal..pdf

- Advisory lorry route signage improvements within the Storrington AQMA;
- Linking of two pedestrian crossings along the High Street/West Street;
- Installation of advisory signs for lorries deterring HGV traffic from taking the route through Cowfold AQMA; and
- Re-alignment of the A272 Bolney Road away from Huntscroft Cottages.

The Council's priorities for the coming year are:

- Finalising the update of the *Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance*;
- Working with planning policy and development control to secure air quality mitigation from new development;
- Securing new back office contract for the support of the existing two ev charging points and expanding the network to include a number of new locations;
- Progressing delivery of traffic management / congestion improvement schemes for Storrington and Cowfold as identified above.

The achievement of congestion improvement measures in Storrington and Cowfold has been challenging as there are no easy solutions and because Horsham District Council is not the highways authority. Horsham District Council continues to work with WSCC to explore traffic management measures to reduce congestion and improve air quality. This has included revisiting and reviewing the evidence from all previous measures identified to understand what impacts these would be likely to have in terms of improving air quality, and whether the measures would be deliverable and provide value for money. A number of these measures are continuing to be investigated. Promotional initiatives that encourage people to consider walking, cycling and public transport use as alternatives to the car across the District also continue to be explored in line with the West Sussex Transport Plan 2011-2026.

Funding remains the principal challenge for progressing the measures identified as the most effective to improve air quality. One example is the LEZ trial in Storrington. Based on the findings of the 'Storrington Traffic Management Options Appraisal' study (2013)⁷ and following further analysis of the feedback from the exhibition where the outcomes of the study were presented, a Low Emission Zone (LEZ) was identified as the most viable traffic management option to reduce NO₂ concentrations in the Storrington AQMA. Regrettably, the trial scheme did not achieve the expected results due to poor data capture and conformity caused by poor strength of the mobile signal. However, the camera system can achieve results with wired communication, or with the use of local storage and regular collection of data (costs to be compared).

⁷ https://www.horsham.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0015/5433/StorringtonTrafficMgt.pdf

Subsequently, funding from Defra's Air Quality Grant was sought in order to undertake a feasibility study into the costs of using either a wired camera connection or local storage and regular collection of data. The application was unsuccessful, therefore the project cannot be progressed unless an alternative source of funding is found. Funds for a feasibility study would also be required to consider in further detail the traffic management implications of such a Low Emission Zone.

District Wide Action Plan Measures

In the 12 months since submission of the 2017 Annual Status Report, work continued on the update of the **Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance**. The document has been linked into the Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) core strategy and developments must meet the standards within it for exposure assessment, cumulative impact assessment and mitigation. The amendments being considered include: damage cost figures and clarifying the procedure for the damage cost calculation. It is also proposed to include a guidance note on the assessment of impacts from industrial installations, and add details regarding the mitigation of construction dust. At the same time, Sussex Air-Partnership has been progressing with the update of the air quality planning guidance for Sussex. The updated guidance for HDC will be published as soon as the main provisions of the Sussex guidance are known, which is to ensure that the HDC guidance remains largely in agreement with the regional policy. The Council is also looking to adopt the Guidance as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).

Development of the **Emission Reduction Strategy** has progressed with a number of key projects being developed in collaboration with partners. Notably Horsham District Council has initiated meetings with the West Sussex districts and the County Council to continue the work previously undertaken by *eV South East*. Funding for the eV South East Network Partnership project has ceased so the priority was to form a new partnership to continue with the project and the expansion of the regional ev charging network (previously known as the Energise network). HDC has also entered into discussions with Crawley DC and Mid-Sussex DC on a joint project to improve ev charging facilities in the area.

In 2014 Horsham became host to its first **car club**. With the recently added car, three cars (one eV/petrol hybrid) are currently available in Horsham. A scoping assessment is currently in progress to establish the viability of extending the car club scheme to Cowfold, Storrington, Billingshurst and Henfield. The car clubs in Horsham and in neighbouring Chichester District Council have been funded from the Department for Transport's Local Sustainable Transport Fund (LSTF).

Horsham District Council has successfully bid for support from the Department for Transport (DfT) under Phase Two of the **ULEV Readiness Project**. This provides a fleet review and possible financial contribution to support the uptake of Ultra Low Emission Vehicles (ULEV) within public sector fleets. The Energy Saving Trust (EST) has evaluated the Council's current fleet and made a recommendation for up to four fleet vehicles, as well as two pool cars to replace grey fleet when driven for at least 10,400 miles. A grant offer was subsequently received from DfT in respect of three vehicles. The grant will be in place for the first 2 years of the project and will cover 75% of the cost of eV vehicle leases for three vehicles: two

Nissan e-NV200 vans and one Nissan Leaf car, as well as the costs of the installation and maintenance of one charge point per vehicle - located at Swan Walk Car park, Chesworth Depot HDC and Hop Oast Depot HDC. Each vehicle was procured with a telematics system enabling an automatic data connection. The vehicles have been delivered in May 2016 and are being used by the parking and leisure services. The Council has committed to share data on vehicle usage with OLEV. The final report was submitted to OLEV in April 2018.

Storrington Air Quality Action Plan

The Action Plan for Storrington was submitted to DEFRA and published on the Council's website in October 2012. The action plan appraisal report was received from DEFRA in November 2012 with the draft plan accepted as fulfilling the requirements of the Local Air Quality Management policy guidance (LAQM PG (16)). Most of the actions set out in the 2012 Plan have either been completed or retracted due to low effectiveness or low feasibility. The most recent review of the identified measures has taken place in June 2017. The review note, produced by the officers of HDC and the County Council can be downloaded from the Council's website⁸.

The review has identified a number of schemes as potentially deliverable. Following further evaluation a decision was taken by the Storrington Air Quality Steering Group to prioritise the progress of two schemes:

- Prohibition of lorries turning right into School Hill from Manley's Hill within the Storrington AQMA;
- Advisory lorry route signage improvements within the Storrington AQMA; and
- Time restrictions for goods vehicle loading/delivery within the AQMA during peak periods around the North Street/A283 High Street junction.

Prohibition of lorries turning right into School Hill from Manley's Hill within the Storrington AQMA

The scheme seeks the prohibition of lorries turning right into B2139 School Hill from A283 Manleys Hill and turning left from A283 High Street into B2139 School Hill. This would ban all vehicles of a defined class from turning from Manley's Hill into School Hill and vice versa. Vehicles turning into School Hill are blocking traffic going up Manley's Hill, which exacerbates congestion on Manley's Hill and the High Street. Furthermore, the mini-roundabout of School Hill and Manley's Hill lacks the space for lorry turning so the lorry turning ban will have a positive impact on safety, in addition to reducing congestion. The scheme scored well in the WSCC Integrated Works Programme (IWP) in respect of the environmental and safety criteria and consequently has been programmed for design in 2017/18 and delivery in 2018/19. The scheme will require the installation of appropriate diversion signage.

⁸ https://www.horsham.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/51996/Storrington-AQMA-traffic-scheme-proposals-review_updatedJune2017_draftv2.pdf

Advisory lorry route signage improvements within the Storrington AQMA

In terms of **advisory lorry route signage**, there is a current voluntary agreement in place with Waitrose for delivery lorries coming from the A24 to use Water Lane to access the village centre. In addition, local signage directs lorries to the Water Lane Trading Estate to use Water Lane. Further improvements to advisory signage for lorries are being considered by the Steering Group. This will include diversion signage required for the progression of the lorry turning ban scheme. The scheme is progressing alongside the prohibition of lorry turning movements scheme described above.

Time restrictions for goods vehicle loading/delivery within the AQMA during peak periods

Parking on double yellow lines remains an issue in the town centre. The most affected area is North Street near the junction with the A283 West Street. Prohibition of loading/unloading, either 24 hours or at specific times, in that area would reduce congestion and have a positive impact on safety. A Traffic Regulation Order has been produced to prohibit waiting, loading and unloading at any time on sections of North Street, The Square and High Street in Storrington. The TRO is currently (June 2018) undergoing consultation. Provided there are no objections, the scheme will progress to a design stage.

Other Measures

There are a number of other schemes considered potentially viable, which may be progressed at a later date, depending on funding and scheme feasibility; those include:

- Review of on-street car parking and loading bay provision;
- Review of two pedestrian crossings along the High Street/West Street;
- Working with local businesses – to encourage alternative refuelling options; encourage home deliveries; investigate opportunities for local and shared deliveries; improve local bus service; promote transport plans; encourage the use of LEVs for deliveries within AQMA;
- Smarter Choices – encourage walking and cycling.

Review on-street car parking and loading bay provision

Parking issues within the village which have been identified as contributing to congestion within the AQMA. Two parking areas have been identified as causing congestion on a regular basis. Further detailed evaluation is being considered to understand the causes of congestion through the High St/West St related to the interactions of the pedestrian crossings, junctions, parking and deliveries. The scheme may entail re-designation of on-street car parking spaces as dedicated loading bays, to reduce number of goods vehicles stopping on the carriageway. West Sussex County Council has a programme of Road Space Audits it is undertaken across larger towns across West Sussex and a light touch version of this could be an avenue through which to progress this evaluation further. The purpose of this would be to look at where vehicles

are being parked in the village, evaluate the current parking space provision and investigate optimised use of available spaces and look at options for improvement. Progression of a Road Space Audit for Storrington would be dependent on the availability of a local funding resource.

Review two pedestrian crossings along the High Street/West Street

Both crossings have previously been upgraded to Puffin crossings (they use kerbside detectors to cancel demands on the crossing no longer required). The crossings use 'vehicle actuation' technology and were linked in 2017 during peak traffic flow times in attempt to smooth vehicle flow. The crossings do not include microprocessor technology (Microprocessor Optimised Vehicle Actuation - MOVA). This technology has the potential to enable green/red phase timings to react to periods of high air quality sensitivity and to prioritise traffic movement at peak times. To progress the scheme, a site study is needed to explore if MOVA technology is technically feasible to be delivered.

Working with local businesses

- Alternative Refuelling Options: Encourage provision of electric vehicle charging points at local business and public car parking spaces. Ensure compatibility of EV charging points to enable link to "Charge your Car" pay as you go network. Encourage development of Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) refuelling network across the district via private companies and as part of a district alternative fuel strategy (See District-wide AP measures).
- Home delivery scheme: Encourage through businesses use of low emission delivery vehicles with possible link to district Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) refuelling strategy.
- Community minibus – enhance existing Storrington minibus service by replacing existing diesel fleet with Low /Zero emission vehicles. Funded by local businesses or new developments via planning contributions, possible link to CNG refuelling strategy.
- Improve local bus service – Liaise with local PSV operators to restrict vehicles entering AQMA to Euro IV/V standard. Consider subsidising strategic bus services to village schools via grant funding/Section 106 contributions to address 'school-run' traffic peaks. Investigate provision of local real-time bus information at bus stops to promote use.
- Transport Plans/ Travel Plans: Promote to existing businesses and new developments innovative solutions: e.g. low emission incentives; driver training; car share schemes; car clubs.
- Freight Delivery Partnership: Encourage use of WSCC advisory lorry route rather than A283 through Storrington AQMA for longer distance lorry movements; investigate opportunities for local and shared deliveries; Encourage use of low emission delivery vehicles to local stores within AQMA, provide links to CNG refuelling strategy.

These schemes are being investigated through various delivery avenues, and are subject to different feasibility and value for money considerations.

Smarter Choices – encourage walking and cycling; work with schools

East Sussex County Council has led a successful bid working with Sussex-air and local authority partners in West Sussex to secure funding through the air quality grant scheme 2017/18 towards developing an action plan for schools and businesses in AQMAs, this includes Cowfold and Storrington Primary schools.

A number of Local Transport Investment Programme (LTIP) schemes to improve walking paths and pedestrian crossings around schools are being progressed by WSCC. Those include:

- Pedestrian safety improvements to Water Lane roundabout to allow safe crossing. Preliminary design for the scheme has been completed.
- Improvements (hard surfacing) for the Riverside route from Water Lane (West Wantley Farm) to Storrington Primary School / Leisure Centre. Feasibility work for this is currently underway with detailed design expected in 19/20 and potential construction in 20/21.

In addition, work continues on school travel plans, the below are examples of measures progressed by Storrington Primary School:

- Zebra crossing for the Leisure Centre on Spierbridge Road / Hormare Crescent. The informal crossing had previously been assessed by WSCC as not requiring a zebra crossing as limited number of people were using it. Currently evidence is being gathered on the number of people using the informal crossing to inform a decision on whether the scheme is needed.
- Cycling racks for Storrington Primary School.
- Rear access to Storrington Primary School including improvements to Love Lane path.

The final measure that should be discussed in this section is the A27 Improvements Scheme (Arundel bypass). This is not a scheme that the Council is directly involved in (as it is managed by Highway's England), however HDC supported the improvements in the 2017 consultation.

A27 Improvements (Arundel bypass)

The Road Investment Strategy produced by DfT in March 2015 allocated a budget for the A27 schemes including the A27 Arundel bypass and A27 Worthing and Lancing improvements. This is expected to reduce traffic flows through Storrington where longer distance traffic is avoiding the A27 due to congestion. Highways England will be progressing a variant of Option 5A for the Arundel bypass which was HDC's preferred option, also supported by WSCC and the majority of local authorities and business groups who responded. The scheme involves a new dual carriageway between Crossbush junction and Ford Road. It

would then continue intersect the fringes of the South Downs National Park and Binsted Woods, before re-joining the existing A27 near Yapton Lane. This Option was modelled to bring a reduction in the total vehicle numbers on the A283 route through Storrington, - which is currently used by drivers wanting to avoid traffic on the A27.

Whilst the measures stated above and in Table 2.3 will help to contribute towards compliance, Horsham District Council anticipates that further additional measures at the national level not yet prescribed will be required in subsequent years to achieve compliance and enable the revocation of the Storrington AQMA. This conclusion is drawn on the basis of current monitoring results from Storrington 19 - the worst-case monitoring location in the Storrington AQMA.

Cowfold Air Quality Action Plan

Horsham District Council produced a draft Air Quality Action Plan for Cowfold in September 2013. The draft was accepted by DEFRA in December 2013. Similar to the Storrington Plan, most of the actions set out in the Cowfold Action Plan have either been completed or retracted due to low effectiveness or low feasibility. The most recent review of the identified measures has taken place in September 2017. The review note, produced by the officers of HDC and the County Council can be downloaded from the Council's website⁹.

The review has identified some schemes as potentially deliverable. Following further evaluation, the Cowfold Air Quality Steering Group would like to progress two main schemes:

- Improved signage on strategic routes to discourage longer distance lorry traffic from using the A272 through Cowfold;
- Realignment of A272 Bolney Road adjacent to Huntscroft Cottages.

The Cowfold Action also includes a Smarter Choices scheme, and an opportunity has arisen this year to progress this scheme following a successful grant application to Defra led by East Sussex County Council working with local authority partners also covering West Sussex in 2017.

Improved signage on strategic routes to discourage longer distance lorry traffic using the A272 through Cowfold

This scheme has progressed to a feasibility assessment. The scheme would involve the installation of advisory signs for lorries on the A24 and A23 deterring HGV traffic from taking the route through the Cowfold AQMA. A feasibility study will investigate the practicalities, deliverability and expected benefits of this scheme in terms of the expected impact on lorry movements and whether this would achieve a significant improvement in air quality through Cowfold. Subject to the outcomes of the feasibility study, and the identification of resource to deliver the scheme, the earliest this scheme will be delivered is 2019/20.

⁹ https://www.horsham.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/51997/Cowfold-Air-Quality-Management-Area-scheme-proposals-review-Sept-2017.pdf

Realignment of A272 Bolney Road adjacent to Huntscroft Cottages

The Council has been liaising with WSCC to consider a proposed A272 road realignment project in the centre of Cowfold village. The proposed scheme, whilst initiated originally on highway safety grounds, would have the effect of moving the road further from the worst affected receptors within the AQMA. Dispersion modelling showed a significant reduction in NO₂ concentrations at receptors currently exceeding the annual mean objective. The cost of the scheme is significant due to underground utilities present under the road, which adds to the traffic management costs. Due to high costs, the only route to progress the scheme is through the WSCC Strategic Transport Investment Programme (STIP). The Council has applied for Defra's air quality grant to provide partial funding for the scheme but was unsuccessful as, should it be implemented, while the scheme will have a positive impact on NO₂ concentrations at relevant receptors, overall emissions will remain unchanged. Regarding the review of STIP programme, due to existing pressures no new schemes have been incorporated into the Strategic Transport Investment Programme in 2017. This scheme is therefore not being considered for further progression at this time but could still be considered in the future.

Smarter Choices – encourage walking and cycling; work with schools

This scheme involves work with WSCC to enhance school travel plans, identify safety improvements to encourage walking, cycling, walking buses, and contribute to air quality awareness education programmes.

East Sussex County Council has led a successful bid working with Sussex-air and local authority partners, including those in West Sussex to secure funding through the air quality grant scheme 2017/18 towards developing an action plan for schools and businesses in AQMAs, this includes Cowfold and Storrington Primary schools.

Other Measures

There are a number of other schemes considered potentially viable, which may be progressed at a later date, depending on funding and scheme feasibility; those include:

- Review on-street car parking and loading bay provision;
- Promotion of alternative travel options; and
- Low Emission Zone / CAZ.

Review on-street car parking and loading bay provision

This would entail potential changes to onstreet parking and to delivery arrangements for businesses in the centre of Cowfold. This measure was originally identified in the Action Plan in relation to delivery arrangements to the Coop before it moved to the former Old Coach House pub site. At present, there are not known to be significant on-street car parking or loading issues within Cowfold affecting air quality

receptor hotspot locations through the village. Therefore no specific action is proposed at this point in time. However, any planning applications coming forward for use of the former Coop building, as well as any continuing or emerging community concerns about onstreet parking or loading issues should be monitored in relation to air quality impacts.

Promotion of alternative travel options

This includes a number of measures focusing on working with local businesses, promoting electric vehicles, improving public transport, promoting travel plans, encouraging walking and cycling, and working with schools. These schemes are being investigated through various delivery avenues, and are subject to different feasibility and value for money considerations.

Realignment of A272 Bolney Road adjacent to Huntscroft Cottages

The Council has been liaising with WSCC to consider a proposed A272 road realignment project in the centre of Cowfold village. The proposed scheme, whilst initiated originally on highway safety grounds, would have the effect of moving the road further from the worst affected receptors within the AQMA. Dispersion modelling showed a significant reduction in NO₂ concentrations at receptors currently exceeding the annual mean objective. The cost of the scheme is significant due to underground utilities present under the road, which adds to the traffic management costs. Due to high costs, the only route to progress the scheme is through the WSCC Strategic Transport Investment Programme (STIP). The Council has applied for Defra's air quality grant to provide partial funding for the scheme but was unsuccessful as, should it be implemented, the scheme will have a positive impact on NO₂ concentrations at relevant receptors even though overall emissions will remain unchanged. Regarding the review of STIP programme, due to existing pressures no new schemes have been incorporated into the Strategic Transport Investment Programme in 2017. This scheme is therefore not being considered for further progression at this time but could still be considered in the future.

Low Emission Zone / CAZ

Given the experience from the Storrington LEZ trial, and the questions of practical enforceability of any LEZ restrictions it can be expected that there would be reservations about the feasibility and effectiveness of progressing a separate LEZ in Cowfold. However, a grant bid was submitted to Defra in 2017 for a feasibility study into the setting up a voluntary Clean Air Zone (CAZ), which would entail implementing a number of actions aimed at promoting and improving air quality, such as a car club and a rapid ev charging point. Regrettably, the bid was unsuccessful so alternative source of funding needs to be found were the scheme to be progressed.

A27 Improvements (Arundel bypass)

In addition to the schemes detailed above, for which progression lies within the remit of HDC/WSCC, consultation took place between August and October 2017 in respect of the A27 Improvements (Arundel

Horsham District Council

bypass) scheme. Following the consultation, a decision was announced to progress a modified version of Option 5a of the scheme. The details of the scheme are set up in the paragraphs above (under the heading Storrington Air Quality Action Plan). This scheme is expected to reduce traffic flows through Cowfold where longer distance traffic is avoiding the A27 due to congestion (for example longer distance journeys between Haywards Heath and Chichester).

Horsham District Council anticipates that the measures stated above and in Table 2.3 will achieve compliance in the Cowfold AQMA within the next few years.

Table 2.3 – Progress and Impact of AQAP Measures (2017)

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------|----------------------|--|--|---|--|---------------------------|---|
| DISTRICT WIDE MEASURES 1 | Planning Advice Document: Air Quality & Emissions Reduction Guidance | Policy Guidance and Development Control / Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance | Mitigation of air quality impact of development based on principle of Horsham district as an 'Emission Reduction Area' | HDC | 2013-14 | May 2014 | Reduction in emissions from transport associated with new development through mitigation and compensation. Assessment of emissions from development required with application. Scheme of mitigation required. | 1% | Planning Advice Document produced by HDC Environmental Health Dept. in collaboration with Strategic Planning Dept. The guidance provides advice to developers on how to address local air quality when making a planning application in Horsham District. | Air Quality & Emissions Reduction Guidance produced & tested. Revised Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) incorporates AQ guidance / policy statement. | 2018 | The <i>Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance</i> has been completed and published in May 2014 and has been included in the Environmental Protection Policy 24 of the recently adopted Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF). Guidance has been updated & will be published after the new content has been approved by the Planning Dep. The Council is also looking to adopt the Guidance as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). |
| 2 | District Emission Reduction Strategy District Emission Reduction Strategy | Promoting Low Emission Transport / Procuring alternative refuelling infrastructure to promote Low Emission Vehicles, EV recharging, gas fuel recharging Promoting Low Emission Transport / Company Vehicle Procurement – Prioritising uptake of low emission | Development of alternative fuel strategy | HDC | 2013 | 2014 – ongoing | At least one alternative refuelling option in all new/refurbished filling stations. One public EV charging point in each village in Horsham district. EV rapid charge points for Energise network. Work with local businesses to develop CNG refuelling infrastructure for local commercial fleet operators. | 1% | One new refuelling station application received to date – recommendation made to DPO by EH Dept. – Four existing standard EV charging points in HDC (Horsham x2 & Storrington x2). Rapid chargers for one additional location (Billingshurst) and replacement of two existing standard EV chargers being | New partnership set up to continue with the ev South East project and the expansion of the regional ev charging network (previously known as the Energise network). HDC has also entered into discussions with Crawley DC and Mid-Sussex DC on a joint project to improve ev charging facilities in these areas. | Ongoing | Small initial impact on emissions but aim to facilitate the uptake of more LE vehicles. Planning guidance requires EV charging points for all developments as mitigation measure. Review of potential LE fuel assets within district e.g. biomethane from existing landfill/anaerobic digestion plant ongoing as part of strategic planning. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| | | vehicles | | | | | | | quoted. | | | |
| 2 cont/ | | <p>Promoting Low Emission Transport / Public Vehicle Procurement – Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles</p> <p>Promoting Low Emission Transport / Taxi licensing conditions</p> <p>Promoting Low Emission Transport / Low Emission Zone</p> | Public /commercial vehicle fleet improvement | HDC Funding for ULEV vehicle leases: HDC & OLEV | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | <p>Introduction & increase % of ULEV's into Council's vehicle fleet.</p> <p>-Condition requiring latest Euro standard for all new taxis through licensing condition.</p> <p>-buses entering AQMAs to be best available Euro standard vehicle within the company fleet. Achieved via negotiation/LEZ</p> | 1% | <p>ULEV Readiness Grant was secured in 2015. Three ultra-low emission vehicle have been delivered to the HDC fleet. Most of the cost of vehicle leases is to be reimbursed by OLEV for 24 months.</p> <p>Taxi/private hire vehicle licence conditions under review. Current vehicles comply with latest Euro standard.</p> <p>Ongoing liaison with bus companies serving routes through AQMAs to reduce engine idling at bus stops.</p> <p>Brighton Bus LEZ introduced in Jan 2015.</p> | <p>Three ultra-low emission vehicles (two vans and one car) have been delivered in May 2016 and are being used by the parking and leisure services. The 3 vehicles have been leased for 4 years. The OLEV grant will cover up to 75% of the cost of the 24 month vehicle leases and charging infrastructure for the first 2 years of use.</p> <p>The final report on the vehicle usage submitted to OLEV in April 2018.</p> | 2015 – ongoing | Small initial impact on emissions but aim to facilitate the uptake of more LE vehicles. Benefits of Brighton LEZ vehicle emission improvements will extend to areas outside Brighton. |
| 3 | AirAlert | Public Information/ Via other mechanisms | Promote AQ health warning system for individuals with respiratory /cardiac conditions. | Sussex-Air/HDC | Service operational | Service operational | Increase in subscriptions to pollution alert service within Horsham district. | No reduction in emissions. | Project started in 2006. Health based study | Health study continuing. Increase in subscriptions. Cold and heat alerts added to service over the recent years. | Ongoing service. | No direct impact on emission reductions but optimising use of monitoring network data for health associated benefits. |
| STORRINGTON-SPECIFIC MEASURES 1 | Prohibition of lorries turning right into School Hill from Manley's Hill and turning left into Manley's Hill from School Hill. | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Improvement to existing highway through Storrington to reduce traffic congestion | HDC / WSCC | 2013-17 | 2018/19 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Storrington. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 1% | Meetings with Steering Group & Storrington business representatives identified the scheme as deliverable. | The scheme has been programmed for design in 2017/18 and delivery in 2018/19. As of May 2018: the scheme has undergone a preliminary design and has progressed to costing. | 2018/19 | There have been incidences of large lorries making turning movements between School Hill and Manley's Hill and vice versa causing congestion at the mini-roundabout due to |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| | Advisory lorry route signage improvements within the Storrington AQMA | | | | | | | | | | | the constrained junction. This measure proposes banning this turning movement for lorries. Emission reductions anticipated as a result of reduced congestion caused by blockages on High Street / West Street. |
| 2 | Time restrictions for goods vehicle loading/delivery within the AQMA during peak periods. | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Improvement to existing highway through Storrington to reduce traffic congestion | HDC / WSCC | 2013-17 | 2018/19 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Storrington. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 1% | Meetings with Steering Group & Storrington business representatives identified the scheme as deliverable. | Meeting to be held by WSCC with the Parish Council to discuss the extent of the loading ban. | 2018/19 | Parking on double yellow lines remains an issue in the town centre. The most affected area is North Street near the junction with the A283 West Street. Prohibition of loading/unloading, either 24 hours or at specific times, in that area would reduce congestion and have a positive impact on safety. This would require a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) to be legally enforceable and consultation with the local community and local businesses. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|--|---|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|---|
| 3 | Review on-street car parking and loading bay provision | Traffic Management/ UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction | Improvement to existing highway through Storrington to reduce traffic congestion | HDC / WSCC | 2013-17 | 2020 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Storrington. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 1% | Meetings with Steering Group & Storrington business representatives identified the scheme as deliverable. | The steering group would like to prioritise schemes 2), 3) and 5) ahead of this one as parking in bays is not as much of an issue in terms of increased congestion as e.g. lorry turning into School Hill or vehicle parking on double yellow lines. | 2020 | A more detailed air quality assessment of changes to and re-designation of parking-bays and loading bays could be investigated further. This could be a combined assessment of some of the other measures discussed in this document, including a review of the pedestrian crossings and junctions. |
| 4 | Review two pedestrian crossings along the High Street/West Street. | Traffic Management/ UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction | Improvement to existing highway through Storrington to reduce traffic congestion | HDC / WSCC | 2013-17 | 2018/19 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Storrington. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 1% | Meetings with Steering Group & Storrington business representatives identified the scheme as deliverable. | - A site study needed to explore if MOVA technology is technically feasible to deliver will cost £500-£1000 to assess site specific circumstances including speed of traffic, detection points, visibility, interactions to side roads, etc. Such assessment will provide a view on the likely benefit of the scheme as well as recommendations on changes to the operation of the crossings (e.g. timings) under the current technology to promote smoother traffic flow. A more detailed study giving more certainty about the degree of benefit from MOVA is likely to cost in the region of £5000 due to the high survey costs in on-ground operatives trying to manually recreate the operational benefits | 2018/19 | Funding is a major constraint to the progress of this scheme. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|----------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | of the technology by controlling the current crossings. The overall expected cost of the MOVA technology is £20k. | | |
| 5 | Promotion of Alternative Transport / Fuelling options | Promoting Low Emission Transport/ Procuring alternative refuelling infrastructure to promote Low Emission Vehicles, EV recharging, gas fuel recharging | Local initiatives to incentivise the uptake of low emission vehicles / sustainable transport. | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Standard eV charging points to be upgraded to rapid charge. Review car parking charging to encourage LE vehicles as part of Energise network. Review transport links/car parking facilities associated with Pulborough main-line station. | 1% | Preliminary assessment of existing arrangements. | Measure incorporated into Planning Advice Document. Review undertaken of HDC vehicles at Storrington transport depot to establish opportunities for upgrading/ replacing with low emission vehicles. Rapid EV charger installed in Storrington in 2015. | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions anticipated as a result of reduction in local car journeys and increase in LE vehicles & improved sustainable transport options. |
| 6 | Public/commercial vehicle fleet improvement | Promoting Low Emission Transport/ Public Vehicle Procurement – Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles | Working with local businesses | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Encourage use of LE home delivery vehicles Incentivise use of LE vehicles by Community minibus service. Work with local bus service to utilise best available Euro standard vehicles for AQMA routes. Promote use of transport /travel plans to increase use of sustainable transport. | 1% | Preliminary meeting with local Business Club representatives Low Emission Strategy negotiated with Waitrose as part of planning condition for extended store incorporating use of LE delivery vehicles. | Review of Council Depot vehicles underway by EST to establish Euro standard, replacement schedule and opportunities for upgrading to low emission fuels. | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions sought through partnership working with local businesses to minimise impact of deliveries etc. on the village. |
| 7 | Promotion of Alternative Lorry delivery Routes | Promoting Low Emission Transport/ Public Vehicle Procurement – Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles | Freight delivery partnerships | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2015/16 | Encourage use of WSCC preferred lorry routes. Facilitate links for local shared deliveries. Encourage use of LE delivery vehicles in AQMAs. | 1% | Freight delivery partnership group previously established by WSCC to be reviewed to assess merit of re-establishing group. May be valid should LEZ option be | LEZ trial initiated in December 2014. Waitrose agreed for delivery lorries coming from the A24 to use Water Lane to access the village centre. Local signage directs lorries to the Water Lane Trading | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions sought through partnership working with local businesses to minimise impact of deliveries etc. on the village. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|---------------------|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | Provide links to EV/CNG refuelling facilities. | | adopted. | Estate to use Water Lane. The options for further advisory signage for lorries are considered by Storrington AQAP Steering Group. | | |
| 8 | Smart Choices | Transport Planning and Infrastructure/ Other Alternatives to private vehicle use/ Car Clubs | Encouraging local walking /cycling by improving access & safety of routes. Introduction of local car club. | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2015/20 | Promote bike rental scheme with local supplier. Investigate funding streams for improvements to local walking & riding paths. – Improve signage -Investigate funding for secure bike storage at local car parks. Undertake feasibility study for introduction of car club in Storrington following success of initiative in Horsham town. | 1% | Preliminary review of current facilities. Further meeting with Parish Council to be arranged. Feasibility study to be considered to assess suitability of car club in Storrington by looking at demographics etc. | Measures incorporated into Planning Advice Document for new developments. WSCC School Travel Coordinator identified key walking/ cycling routes requiring improvement. Scoping report in progress for provision of car club to village. A number of LTIP schemes to improve walking paths and pedestrian crossings around schools being currently progressed by WSCC, more details in the main text. | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions sought through encouraging the use of sustainable transport options within the village. |
| 9 | School Travel Plans | Promoting Travel Alternatives/ School Travel Plans | Working with local schools | WSCC/ HDC | Ongoing | Ongoing | Work with WSCC to enhance school travel plans. Identify safety improvements to encourage walking/cycling Contribute to air quality awareness education programmes. | 1% | Preliminary meeting with WSCC School Travel Advisor June 2013 to review issues and identify options. | Further work required in liaison with School Travel Advisor. School travel improvements considered as part of planning applications for new residential developments in Storrington. WSCC introduced parking restrictions outside Storrington. Work continues on school travel plans. Storrington Primary School is currently involved in evidence gathering to introduce a zebra crossing for the Leisure Centre on | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions sought through working with schools, parents and pupils to encourage the use of safe and sustainable transport to and from schools, and reduce the number of local car trips. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Spierbridge Road / Hormare Crescent; also investigates funding options for the installation of cycling racks and the delivery of improvements to a rear access footpath. | | |
| 10 | A27 Improvements (Arundel Bypass) | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Campaign to improve A27 on air quality grounds at Chichester, Worthing & Arundel to reduce use of 'alternative' routes such as A283 through Storrington. | Highways Agency/ WSCC | 2013 – ongoing | Dependant on HA | Improvements to A27 now programmed by Highways England. Key indicator of AP measure will be for HE to agree scheme and implement. | 2.5% | WSCC A27 Action campaign launched to seek improvement to A27. | The Road Investment Strategy produced by DfT in March 2015 allocates a budget for the A27 schemes including the A27 Arundel bypass and A27 Worthing and Lancing improvements. Consultation took place between August and October 2017. Following it, a decision was announced to progress a modified version of Option 5a. | Further period of statutory public consultation planned for 2019, followed by the submission of an application for a Development Consent Order under the Planning Act 2008. If approved, construction is currently scheduled to commence in 2020, with completion scheduled for 2023-2024. | Improvements to the A27 are one of the key priorities of the current West Sussex Transport Plan (LTP3). Currently approximately 50% of all vehicles passing through the Storrington AQMA are 'through traffic'. 60% of HGV's in Storrington are 'through traffic'. |
| 11 | A27 Improvements (Worthing & Lancing) | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Campaign to improve A27 on air quality grounds at Chichester, Worthing & Arundel to reduce use of 'alternative' routes such as A283 through | Highways Agency/ WSCC | 2015 – ongoing | Dependant on HA | Improvements to A27 now programmed by Highways England. Key indicator of AP measure will be for HE to agree scheme and implement. | 2.5% | WSCC A27 Action campaign launched to seek improvement to A27. | The Road Investment Strategy produced by DfT in March 2015 allocates a budget for the A27 schemes including the A27 Arundel bypass and A27 Worthing and Lancing improvements. Scheme consultation undertaken from July to September 2017, | 2020-23 | Improvements to the A27 are one of the key priorities of the current West Sussex Transport Plan (LTP3). Currently approximately 50% of all vehicles passing through the Storrington AQMA are 'through traffic'. 60% of HGV's in |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|--|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | | Storrington. | | | | | | | where objections were raised to the the options brought forward due to negligible environmental benefits of the recommended options. It is anticipated the scheme will be re-consulted. | | Storrington are 'through traffic'. |
| COWFOLD Specific Action Plan Measures 1 | Improved signage on strategic routes or restrictions on longer distance lorry traffic | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Improvement to existing highway through Cowfold to reduce traffic congestion | HDC / WSCC | 2013-17 | 2014/20 | Reduction in NO ₂ concentrations in Cowfold. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 1% | The scheme included in the provisional 2018/19 feasibility and design programme. The results of the air quality modelling show that a 25% reduction in lorry numbers would result in an approximate reduction of 1.0-1.5 µg/m ³ for nitrogen dioxide concentrations. A reduction of 1 µg/m ³ is significant in planning terms, albeit would probably not be sufficient to undeclare the AQMA | Scheme has been endorsed by Cowfold Parish Council. A feasibility study is currently underway into the potential provision of advisory signs on the A24 and A23 to discourage through traffic using the A272 between these primary routes and improve air quality. Permission of Highways England is needed before signs could be installed on the A23 as it is not a WSCC maintained highway. | 2019/20 | Changes to road signs might encourage longer distance lorry traffic to use other strategic routes such as the A23/A264/A24 to the north or the A23/A27/A24 to the south to avoid the Cowfold AQMA. Variable Message Signs (VMS) might also be considered to encourage drivers to use alternative routes at peak times when air quality problems are worse. Funding for a feasibility study needs to be found to progress the scheme. It remains uncertain whether HE would grant permission to install the signs on the A23. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|--|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| 2 | Smart Choices – encourage walking and cycling; work with schools | Transport Planning and Infrastructure/ Other Alternatives to private vehicle use/ Car Clubs | Encouraging local walking /cycling by improving access & safety of routes. Introduction of local car club. | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2015/20 | Promote bike rental scheme with local supplier. Investigate funding streams for improvements to local walking & riding paths. – Improve signage -Investigate funding for secure bike storage at local car parks. Undertake feasibility study for introduction of car club in Storrington following success of initiative in Horsham town. | 1% | Preliminary review of current facilities. Further meeting with Parish Council to be arranged. Feasibility study to be considered to assess suitability of car club in Storrington by looking at demographics etc. Measures incorporated into Planning Advice Document for new developments. WSCC School Travel Coordinator identified key walking/ cycling routes requiring improvement. Scoping report in progress for provision of car club to village. | East Sussex County Council has secured funding through the air quality grant scheme 2017/18 towards developing an action plan for schools and businesses in AQMAs, this includes Cowfold and Storrington Primary schools. | 2013 – ongoing | Emission reductions sought through encouraging the use of sustainable transport options within the village. |
| 3 | Review on-street car parking provision and possible re-designation of spaces as dedicated loading bays, to reduce number of vehicles stopping on the carriageway | Traffic Management/ UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction | Potential changes to on-street parking and to delivery arrangements for businesses in the centre of Cowfold. | HDC / WSCC | 2015/20 | 2020 | Reduce emissions from traffic in Cowfold | 1% | It is believed that this measure was originally identified in the Action Plan in relation to delivery arrangements to the Coop before it moved to the former Old Coach House pub site. | There are not known to be significant on-street car parking or loading issues within Cowfold affecting air quality receptor hotspot locations through the village. Close monitoring of any proposals for new uses of the former Coop building will need to be made to ensure any potential impacts on air quality will be appropriately mitigated. | 2015 – ongoing | Any planning applications coming forward for use of the former coop building, as well as any continuing or emerging community concerns about on-street parking or loading issues should be monitored in relation to air quality impacts. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|----------------|----------------------|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| 4 | Promotion of Alternative Transport Options | <p>Promoting Low Emission Transport / Public Vehicle Procurement - Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles</p> <p>Alternatives to private vehicle use/ Car Clubs</p> <p>Promoting Low Emission Transport / Procuring alternative Refuelling infrastructure to promote Low Emission Vehicles, EV recharging, Gas fuel recharging</p> | Local initiatives to incentivise the uptake of low emission vehicles / sustainable transport. | HDC / WSCC | 2013/14 | 2014/20 | Reduce emissions from traffic in Cowfold | 1% | <p>Planning Advice Document incorporates local mitigation measures. Current planning applications will be required to provide incentives to encourage low emission vehicles.</p> <p>This includes a number of measures focusing on working with local businesses, promoting electric vehicles, improving public transport, promoting travel plans, encouraging walking and cycling, and working with schools.</p> | <p>Cowfold village serves a local population of approximately 1800 residents. Public transport options are limited and private car use is the primary mode of transport.</p> <p>Although expected to be a low proportion of the overall volume of vehicle trips, engagement with Cowfold Primary School should continue in order to ensure as many local trips are made by other means to single child occupancy car use as possible.</p> | 2015 – ongoing | <p>These schemes are being investigated through various delivery routes. Their direct impact on Cowfold air quality issues in the short to medium are not likely to be significant, however they form part of a wider approach of promoting a culture of using alternative travel options to single occupancy car use.</p> |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| 5 | A272 Road Realignment (Realignment of A272 Bolney Road adjacent to Huntscroft Cottages) | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Assessment of vehicle restrictions /measures to reduce traffic volume and improve flow through Cowfold AQMA | HDC / WSCC | 2014/15 | 2018/20 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Cowfold. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 10% | A272 road realignment scheme identified by WSCC County Local Committee. Project would move carriageway further from receptors at Huntscroft Cottages. | Road realignment scheme – proposed primarily on pedestrian safety grounds because of the narrow footpath adjacent to Huntscroft cottages. Dispersion modelling showed a significant reduction in NO ₂ concentrations at receptors currently exceeding the annual mean objective. Due to existing pressures no new schemes have been incorporated into the Strategic Transport Investment Programme in 2017. This scheme is therefore not being considered for further progression at this time but could still be considered in the future. | Unknown | Road realignment will move A272 further from Huntscroft Cottages which experience the highest NO ₂ concentrations within the Cowfold AQMA. NO ₂ concentrations will be significantly reduced at receptor locations. Feasibility of the scheme is unclear due to potential impacts on character of village and business case. The cost of the scheme is significant due to underground utilities present under the road and with the traffic management costs required. An estimate of the scheme cost is £600,000. |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| 6 | Clean Air Zone / LEZ | Promoting Low Emission Transport/ Low Emission Zone | Assessment of vehicle restrictions /measures to reduce traffic volume and improve flow through Cowfold AQMA | HDC / WSCC | 2017/18 | 2025 | Reduction in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in Cowfold. Improved traffic flow / reduction in traffic congestion. | 10% | <p>Any LEZ might restrict all HGV's of pre Euro V classification from entering the village.</p> <p>A LEZ trial was undertaken in Storrington AQMA in partnership with Siemens. The scheme could not go ahead due to the Greenzone system not functioning affectively. Signal reception problems affecting the system resulted in significant loss of data, whilst there were also problems with the categorisation of vehicles into Euro standard categories.</p> | <p>Given the experience from the Storrington LEZ trial, and the questions of practical enforceability of any LEZ restrictions it can be expected that there would be significant reservations about the feasibility and effectiveness of progressing a separate LEZ in Cowfold.</p> <p>A grant bid was submitted to Defra in 2017 for a feasibility study into the setting up a voluntary Clean Air Zone (CAZ), which would entail implementing a number of actions aimed at promoting and improving air quality, such as a car club and a rapid ev charging point. Regrettably, the bid was unsuccessful so alternative source of funding needs to be found were the scheme to be progressed.</p> | 2025 | <p>The zone would limit access to the village for specific vehicle types not meeting specified emission standards (e.g. Euro V or above).</p> <p>The set up cost and operational costs of the scheme are significant.</p> <p>Additional considerations are needed to be given to the practical enforceability of any restrictions, whether exemptions are needed for local access, and the impacts of the zone on local businesses and the local community.</p> |
| 7 | A27 Improvements (Arundel Bypass) | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Campaign to improve A27 on air quality grounds at Chichester, Worthing & Arundel to reduce use of 'alternative' routes through villages such as Storrington & Cowfold. | Highways Agency/ WSCC | 2013 – ongoing | Dependant on HA | Improvements to A27 now programmed by Highways England. Key indicator of AP measure will be for HE to agree scheme and implement. | Unknown | WSCC A27 Action campaign launched to seek improvement to A27. | <p>The Road Investment Strategy produced by DfT in March 2015 allocates a budget for the A27 schemes including the A27 Arundel bypass and A27 Worthing and Lancing improvements.</p> <p>Consultation took place between August and October 2017. Following it, a decision was announced to</p> | Further period of statutory public consultation planned for 2019, followed by the submission of an application for a Development Consent Order under the | Improvements to the A27 are one of the key priorities of the current West Sussex Transport Plan (LTP3). |

| Measure No. | Measure | EU Category / EU Classification | Focus | Organisations involved and Funding Source | Planning Phase | Implementation Phase | Key Performance Indicator | Target Annual Emission Reduction in the AQMA | Progress to Date | Progress in Last 12 Months | Estimated Completion Date | Comments / Barriers so Implementation |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | progress a modified version of Option 5a. | Planning Act 2008. If approved, construction is currently scheduled to commence in 2020, with completion scheduled for 2023-2024. | |
| 8 | A27 Improvements (Worthing & Lancing) | Traffic Management/ Strategic highway improvements | Campaign to improve A27 on air quality grounds at Chichester, Worthing & Arundel to reduce use of 'alternative' routes through villages such as Storrington & Cowfold. | Highways Agency/ WSCC | 2015 – ongoing | Dependant on HA | Improvements to A27 now programmed by Highways England. Key indicator of AP measure will be for HE to agree scheme and implement. | Unknown | WSCC A27 Action campaign launched to seek improvement to A27. | The Road Investment Strategy produced by DfT in March 2015 allocates a budget for the A27 schemes including the A27 Arundel bypass and A27 Worthing and Lancing improvements. Scheme consultation undertaken from July to September 2017, where objections were raised to the the options brought forward due to negligible environmental benefits of the recommended options. It is anticipated the scheme will be re-consulted. | 2020--23 | Improvements to the A27 are one of the key priorities of the current West Sussex Transport Plan (LTP3). |

2.3 PM_{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of PM_{2.5}. There is clear evidence that particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

The major sources of primary PM_{2.5} are industrial combustion, road transport, off-road transport, residential sources and small-scale waste burning¹⁰. Road transport sources of PM_{2.5} include mainly exhaust emissions from diesel vehicles, together with non-exhaust emissions from tyre wear, brake wear and road surface abrasion. Chemically, a large proportion of the total mass of PM_{2.5} consists of nitrates, sulphates and organic and elemental/black carbon¹¹. The carbon(aceous) particles are associated with a variety of combustion sources including diesel powered engines, residential burning and power stations. There is evidence of adverse health effects of black carbon particles linked with cardiovascular conditions and premature mortality¹².

Horsham District Council is working to address PM_{2.5} through measures aimed at reducing emissions from road transport, in particular, measures increasing the uptake of low emission vehicles.

Although PM_{2.5} is no longer monitored in the district, a review of data from three South East sites monitoring PM_{2.5}: Eastbourne AURN, Chatham AURN and Rochester Stoke AURN has been undertaken in this report. The results from those sites (discussed in Section 3.2.3) show that concentrations have remained well below the national target value of 25µg/m³ for all the years of monitoring. However, Eastborne and Chatham sites have exceeded 10µg/m³ recommended by WHO. As expected, solid fuel burning has contributed to the concentrations of PM_{2.5} in the region; this is shown in Figure B15 in Appendix B, which shows elevated levels of PM_{2.5} in the evening hours at weekends in the winter months. That contribution has been quantified by King's College at 6 to 9% annually, averaged across urban areas¹³. The Council will review areas in the district where solid fuel burning may be an issue and will engage in the promotion of smokeless fuel use. Relevant information will be

¹⁰ Air Quality Expert Group (2012) *Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) in the United Kingdom*

¹¹ Elemental carbon and black carbon are terms often used interchangeably, however they are defined by the measurement method applied - John G. Watson, Judith C. Chow, and L.-W. Antony Chen (2005) *Summary of Organic and Elemental Carbon/Black Carbon Analysis Methods and Intercomparisons*

¹² WHO (2013) *Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution – REVIHAAP Project*

¹³ Environmental Research Group - King's College London (2017) *Airborne particles from wood burning in UK cities*

available on the website, giving advice on the use of smokeless fuel, and discouraging the use of open fires and garden waste bonfires.

The principles of the Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance (May 2014) endorse the objective of reducing traffic emissions associated with new development. All developments are required to implement mitigation/offsetting measures commensurate with its size/predicted impacts.

In cooperation with Sussex-Air Partnership, Horsham DC has supported the development and maintenance of the “Energise” eV charge point network. Funding for Energise and the eV South East Network Partnership project has ceased in 2017 so the priority was to form a new partnership to continue with the project and the expansion of the regional ev charging network (previously known as the Energise network). HDC has initiated meetings with the West Sussex districts and the County Council to continue the work previously undertaken by *eV South East*. HDC has also entered into discussions with Crawley DC and Mid-Sussex DC on a joint project to improve ev charging facilities in those districts. HDC currently owns four ev charging points, two of which are ‘rapid’ charging points, installed in Billingshurst (Six Bells car park) and Storrington (Library car park).

The Council has secured funding from the Office for Low Emission Vehicles, Department for Transport’s Local Sustainable Transport Fund (LSTF) to set up of a car club in Horsham. It is anticipated that the scheme can be extended to other towns in the district.

3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

Currently Horsham District Council has three automatic monitoring stations located in:

- **Park Way, Horsham** town centre, housing NO_x and PM₁₀ analysers;
- **Storrington** village, housing a NO_x analyser. This station is affiliated to the Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN).
- **Cowfold** village, housing a NO_x analyser.

All stations are roadside sites with relevant public exposure¹⁴. Further details of these monitoring stations are provided in Table A1 in Appendix A. The location of the automatic monitoring stations are shown in Figures D1 - D3, Appendix D. Further details on how the monitors are calibrated and how the data has been adjusted are included in Appendix C.

All monitoring stations are collocated with triplicate NO₂ diffusion tubes.

Horsham District Council is a member of the Sussex Air Quality Partnership (Sussex Air) which benefits from the co-ordinated monitoring of air pollutants across the region. The Sussex Air Quality Monitoring Network is managed and co-ordinated by the Environmental Research Group based at King's College London, on behalf of Sussex-air and they provide data calibration and ratification of results. All data from the network is published at www.sussex-air.net.

3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

The nitrogen dioxide monitoring network in 2017 included 41 sites across the district. The total number of diffusion tubes was 49. Details of the monitoring sites are shown in Table A2 in Appendix A. The site locations are shown in Figures D4-D11 in Appendix D.

¹⁴ NB. Local authorities do not have to report annually on the following pollutants: 1,3 butadiene, benzene, carbon monoxide and lead, unless local circumstances indicate there is a problem. National monitoring results are available at <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/>

Since the Annual Status Report 2017 three new sites have been added to the survey in January 2017; those were:

- Henfield 2n – relocated from Henfield1n (Golden Sq) to the A281 High Street. Henfield 1n did not exceed the annual mean NO₂ objective in the previous year.
- Horsham 10n – a temporary site on Crawley Road near Forest Road, established to investigate NO₂ levels in Crawley Road. The results of three-month monitoring were well below the annual mean objective for NO₂. This site was discontinued at the end of April 2017.
- Southwater 1n – opened opposite Southwater Infant Academy to monitor air quality impacts of new development Southwater, which includes a residential development of 600 dwellings currently under construction on the site immediately opposite the school.

All diffusion tubes have relevant exposure within 10m of the kerbside, except tubes:

- Horsham 6N – receptor at Rusper Road located a distance of 11m from kerbside;
- Horsham 7N – receptor at Warnham Road located a distance of 12m from kerbside; and
- Storrington 14 – receptor at Washington Road located a distance of 19m from kerbside.

Triplicate tubes have been maintained at all three automatic analyser sites:

- HO2 Horsham Park Way (junction of Park Street and Park Way in Horsham);
- HO4 Storrington AURN (junction of Manley's Hill and Meadowside in Storrington); and
- HO5 Cowfold (Bolney Road/The Street, Cowfold).

There were two duplicate sites in 2016; these were Storrington 1,2 – Manleys Hill and Cowfold 1,2 – Olde House, The Street, Cowfold.

3.2 Individual Pollutants

The following sections provide results from the automatic monitoring stations and diffusion tube network hosted by Horsham District Council and additional data for particulate matter from the Reigate and Banstead RG1 site in Surrey, as well as Eastbourne AURN in East Sussex and Chatham AURN and Rochester Stoke AURN in Kent. The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for “annualisation” and bias. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C.

3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Automatic Monitoring Data

The Council monitored NO₂ at three locations during 2017: HO2 Horsham Park Way, HO4 Storrington AURN and HO5 Cowfold. Table A3 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO₂ annual mean concentrations for all the years where monitoring was undertaken with the air quality objective of 40µg/m³. Table A4 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored NO₂ hourly mean concentrations for all the monitoring years with the air quality objective of 200µg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year.

Data capture was good (above 75%) during 2017 at all three sites and, as such, no annualisation has been required.

The results at the three monitoring stations indicate that the NO₂ objectives for 2017 were not exceeded, with annual mean concentrations below the annual mean objective level of 40µg/m³ and no measured exceedances of the 1-hour objective.

The annual mean NO₂ concentration for Park Way, Horsham for 2017 was 26.2µg/m³; this showed a small decrease on two previous year. There were no exceedances of the 1-hour objective at the Park Way site. The highest concentrations in the year were recorded in January (average mean concentration of 41.7µg/m³), followed by February and November; this is shown in Figures B1 and B3, Appendix B. From the analysis of hourly mean concentrations it can be seen that the highest hourly mean concentrations (exceeding 40µg/m³) were recorded in the morning and afternoon traffic peaks from Monday through to Friday, with markedly high traffic peak concentrations recorded in the winter months (Figure B5, Appendix B).

For the Storrington AURN site, the annual mean NO₂ concentration for 2017 was 22.7µg/m³, showing a small decrease on 2016. There were no exceedances of the 1-hour objective. The

highest concentrations in the year were recorded in January (average mean concentration of $35.4\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$); the period of the lowest concentrations was over the summer months June-August (Figure B8, Appendix B). From the analysis of hourly mean concentrations it can be seen that the highest hourly mean concentrations (exceeding $40\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) were recorded in the morning traffic peaks for Monday and Tuesday (Figure B9, Appendix B).

The measured annual mean NO_2 concentration at the Cowfold station in 2017 was $29.5\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, a high in the recent years. There were no exceedances of the 1-hour objective at the site. The highest concentrations in the year were recorded in January ($36.7\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), followed by November ($34.9\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) and December ($36.4\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$). The site has shown irregularly high concentrations in June and July, which typically are the low months for NO_2 pollution (Figure B11, Appendix B). This has contributed to the overall increase in the annual mean concentration in 2017. The analysis of hourly mean concentrations by day of the week indicates that the highest concentrations were recorded during the afternoon traffic peaks throughout the working week from Monday to Friday, with results showing hourly mean concentrations of $40 - 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Figure B12, Appendix B).

Figure A1 in Appendix A shows the trend in NO_2 concentrations at the monitoring locations for all the years of monitoring. For Horsham Park Way and Storrington AURN the annual mean concentrations have increased in 2016 from the previous year, to decrease in 2017. This pattern is in agreement with the national trend for roadside NO_2 . The Horsham Park Way and Storrington sites show an overall decreasing trend over the monitoring period. Regarding the Cowfold site, the concentrations peaked in the last two years, the low years being 2013 and 2015. Therefore, the overall trend at the site is not clear.

Diffusion Tube Monitoring Data

Nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube monitoring was undertaken at 41 locations throughout Horsham District during 2017.

Data capture for the survey in 2017 was good (100%) at most sites. Two sites had data capture below 75%; those affected sites were: the re-located site in Henfield; the temporary site set up in Crawley Road in Horsham and the new site in Southwater. The results for those sites were 'annualised' in accordance with Box 7.10 of the Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(16). The details for the annualisation can be found in Table C5 through to C8 in Appendix C.

The results for 2017 (shown in Table A9 and Table A10) have been corrected using a local bias correction factor of 0.78, as obtained from three co-location studies at HO2 Horsham

Parkway, HO2 Storrington and HO5 Cowfold. Full details of the bias adjustment and QA/QC procedure are provided in Appendix C.

In 2017 there were three sites where the annual mean NO₂ objective was exceeded:

- Storrington 1,2 (Manleys Hill) – located within the Storrington AQMA;
- Storrington 19n (jct of Manley’s Hill and School Hill) – located within the Storrington AQMA; and
- Cowfold 7n (3 Huntscroft Gardens, Bolney Road) – located within the Cowfold AQMA.

There were three other monitoring sites with measured concentrations within 10% of the annual mean objective (i.e. 36µg/m³ or more), two of these sites are within the Storrington AQMA and one within the Cowfold AQMA:

- Storrington 4 (22 High Street) – located within the Storrington AQMA;
- Storrington 11n (53 West Street) – located within the Storrington AQMA; and
- Cowfold 1,2 (Olde House, The Street) – located within the Cowfold AQMA.

All of the sites which exceeded the annual mean objective, as well as those within 10% of the objective, are located within the existing AQMAs. This demonstrates that the Storrington and Cowfold AQMAs are still required.

Horsham Sites

The Horsham diffusion tube sites in 2017 have shown a small decrease on 2016. The highest annual mean concentration (of 31.6µg/m³) was recorded at the Horsham 1 monitoring site in Park Way. Similar concentration was recorded at the new site Horsham 9 on North Street. Horsham 1n is located at the intersection of major roads in the town centre and Horsham 9n is located near a busy junction of North Street and Harwood Road.

Storrington Sites

For the Storrington monitoring sites, the 2017 NO₂ concentrations have largely shown a small decrease on 2016. The majority of long-term sites show a continuing overall downward trend over the monitoring period.

The duplicate site Storrington 1,2 in the Storrington AQMA has shown a small decrease in 2017 on the previous year. The site is located approximately 2.5m from a residential

property. A distance correction to estimate concentration at the façade was not carried out as the nearest receptors are located on ground floor whereas the measurement was taken at the height of 3m. Therefore, it is assumed that the concentrations at the façade of the property immediately behind the site and the properties nearest the site, are close in value to the concentration recorded at the site.

Near to Storrington 1,2, on the opposite side of the road, is the relocated site Storrington 19n. The Storrington 19n site is located at the same distance from the road as the façade of the nearest residential property, and as such no correction was required. Storrington 19n recorded the highest concentration in the monitoring survey for 2017, with the annual mean result of 56.4µg/m³.

Cowfold Sites

The measured annual mean NO₂ concentrations in Cowfold for 2017 have shown a decrease on the previous year.

The Cowfold 7n site, located on the A272 to the east of the town, is the only monitoring site in Cowfold which exceeded the annual mean objective in the long term and in the recent years. The trend for this site is not clear as concentrations peaked in 2011 and 2012, following which the annual mean decreased in 2013 and 2014, before increasing again in 2015 and 2016.

As Cowfold 7n is located approximately 2m from a residential receptor, a distance correction has been applied to estimate the concentration at the nearest relevant exposure (details of the correction are shown in Figure C2, Appendix C). The distance corrected result of 39.7µg/m³ indicates that the annual mean concentration for NO₂ at the façade of the nearest residential receptor, although compliant with the objective, remains very close to the objective value.

Remaining Sites

The monitoring sites in the towns of Billingshurst, Pulborough and Steyning have remained below the objective throughout the monitoring period.

The concentrations measured in Billingshurst have remained relatively stable at approximately 30µg/m³ over the monitoring period from 2013 to 2017.

The Pulborough sites have shown a slight decrease in 2016 on the 2016 concentrations and the overall trend at those sites is downward.

Steyping 4N has shown a decrease over 2016-2017, which may have been partly due to reduced exposure as the monitoring site was surrounded by scaffolding from the adjacent building from March 2017 to December 2017.

The new sites Henfield 2 (A281 High Street) and Southwater 1 (Opp. Southwater Infant Academy, Worthing Rd) measured concentrations below the objective for 2017.

Figures A4 to A7 in Appendix A show the trends in annual mean NO₂ concentrations measured at the diffusion tube sites over the monitoring period 2008-2017. The results of diffusion tube monitoring indicate a small decrease in NO₂ concentrations in 2017 as compared to the previous years. The majority of sites show a distinct overall downward trend in measured concentrations of NO₂ over the monitoring period, which applies both to roadside and background locations. This can be attributed to decreasing background concentrations and is also indicative of a gradual improvement in fleet emissions.

3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

The Council monitored PM₁₀ at one location during 2017: HO2 Horsham Park Way. In addition to Horsham Park Way, PM₁₀ used to be monitored at Storrington AURN, however this had ceased in 2017 when Defra re-located the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} analysers at the end of 2016 to an area of lower coverage.

Table A5 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored PM₁₀ annual mean concentrations for all the years where monitoring was undertaken, with the air quality objective of 40µg/m³. Table A6 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored PM₁₀ daily mean concentrations for all the monitoring years with the air quality objective of 50µg/m³, not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year.

An automatic TEOM particulate monitor has been permanently located at Park Way in Horsham town centre for the past eight years, giving 15 minute measurements of particulate matter concentrations. Data collection and ratification is undertaken by the Environmental Research Group through their contract with the Sussex Air Quality Partnership. The data obtained from the Park Way analyser has been corrected using the Volatile Correction Model developed by the Environmental Research Group. Further information on the correction applied to the TEOM results is presented in Appendix C. Data capture was above 75% in 2017 and as such no annualisation has been required.

Automatic monitoring of PM₁₀ at the Horsham Park Way site indicated that both the annual mean and 24-hour UK objective for PM₁₀ were complied with in 2017 and all the previous years of monitoring. The annual mean PM₁₀ concentration recorded in 2017 was 21.3µg/m³,

which shows a small increase on the previous year. The site has recorded 2 exceedances of the daily mean concentration objective in 2017. Although the annual mean objective for PM₁₀ has been met, the site remains above the WHO-recommended guideline value of 20µg/m³, taken as annual mean.

The highest average monthly concentrations were recorded in January and February at Horsham Park Way, RG1 and Rochester Stoke. Chatham recorded the highest mean monthly concentrations in January and March (Figure B3 and B4, Appendix B). From the analysis of hourly mean concentrations it can be seen that the highest hourly mean concentrations at Horsham Park Way were recorded in the morning and afternoon traffic peaks from Monday through to Friday, as well as Friday evenings (Figure B6, Appendix B).

Figure A2 shows the trend in PM₁₀ concentrations at Horsham Park Way for all the years of monitoring, as compared to the three South East sites. Horsham Park Way remained well below both the long term and short term air quality objectives for PM₁₀ throughout the monitoring period. Results from the Horsham Park Way analyser show an overall gradual reduction in measured concentrations since monitoring at this location begun in 2007. Chatham AURN is a roadside site and shows a trend typical for a roadside site - decreasing to 2015, followed by a small increase in the recent years. Reigate and Banstead monitor is situated in a suburban location. The site shows a decreasing trend, typical for an urban background site.

3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})

PM_{2.5} objectives have been set out in the UK Air Quality Regulations. Although there is no requirement for local authorities in England to review and assess PM_{2.5} against these objectives as part of the LAQM regime, results have been reported as recommended by Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(16).

PM_{2.5} had been monitored at the Storrington AURN site, however monitoring ceased at the end of 2016 when Defra re-located the analyser to an area of lower coverage. As PM₁₀ is still monitored at the Horsham Park Way site, the annual mean concentrations of PM_{2.5} were estimated from the PM₁₀ measurements using a local ratio of PM_{2.5} to PM₁₀, as per method described in Box 7.7 of Technical Guidance TG(16). The PM_{2.5} results presented in Table A7 indicate that concentrations are well below the national target value of 25µg/m³ in 2017 and

previous years at the Horsham Park Way site¹⁵. However, the results have remained above the WHO-recommended guideline value of 10µg/m³ as annual mean.

Table A7 also presents data from three South East sites monitoring PM_{2.5}: Eastbourne AURN, Chatham AUR and Rochester Stoke AURN. Results from those sites indicate that concentrations have remained well below the limit value of 25µg/m³ in 2017 and all the years of monitoring. However, the guideline value of 10µg/m³ recommended by WHO has largely been exceeded throughout the monitoring period at all those sites.

Regarding 2017 concentrations, peaks were recorded during regional episodes in January and February, as shown in Figures B13 in Appendix B. January and February also showed the highest average monthly concentrations (Figure B14, Appendix B). Figure B15 shows the times of highest hourly mean concentrations, which are end of the week evenings and evenings at weekends, with markedly higher concentrations recorded over the winter months at those times.

Figure A2 shows the trend in both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations at the two monitoring locations (values plotted for the Horsham Parkway sites are estimated values). This shows an overall gradual reduction in the PM_{2.5} concentrations over the recent years at Horsham Park Way. The increase in concentrations observed in 2017 for Horsham Park Way may have been caused by local meteorological conditions, which led to reduced dispersion during regional episodes for particulate matter in winter 2017. Eastbourne AURN and Rochester AURN are both background sites; both showed a gradual reduction in concentrations until 2015. Since 2015 the concentrations increased slightly at both sites. The roadside site in Chatham AURN has shown a continuous decrease over the monitoring years but has increased in 2017, which may have been caused by the change of analyser.

3.2.4 Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂)

There is currently no sulphur dioxide monitoring undertaken by Horsham District Council. However, results of automatic monitoring was undertaken at a permanent station Lullington Heath in Sussex have shown compliance with the LAQM objectives for SO₂.

Given that no large scale industrial combustion processes or significant areas of domestic solid-fuel burning have been identified within Horsham District it is unlikely that the objectives for sulphur dioxide would have been exceeded within the district during 2017.

¹⁵ National target value as per *The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010*

3.2.5 Summary of Compliance with AQS Objectives

There were three monitoring locations which exceeded the annual mean objective for nitrogen dioxide in 2017: Storrington 1,2 (Manleys Hill), Storrington 19n (jct of Manley's Hill and School Hill) and Cowfold 7n (3 Huntscroft Gardens, Bolney Road). Cowfold 7n marginally complied with the objective after distance correction has been applied to estimate the concentration at the nearest relevant exposure.

Three further sites measured concentrations within 10% of the objective ($> 36\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) – two of these sites are within the Storrington AQMA (Storrington 1,2 at Manleys Hill, and Storrington 11n at 53 West Street) and one within the Cowfold AQMA (Cowfold 1,2 at Olde House, The Street).

All of the sites which exceeded the annual mean objective, as well as those within 10% of the objective, are located within the existing AQMAs. This demonstrates that the Storrington and Cowfold AQMAs are still required.

No other monitoring sites within the district exceeded the air quality objectives for NO_2 in 2017.

Most of the diffusion tube monitoring sites have shown a decrease in 2017 on the previous year. Long-term sites have shown a continuing overall downward trend over the monitoring period, indicative of a gradual improvement in fleet emissions.

Regarding PM_{10} , automatic monitoring at the Horsham Park Way site indicates that both the annual mean and 24-hour UK objective for PM_{10} were complied with in 2017 and all the previous years of monitoring. Monitoring results collated from three permanent monitoring sites in the South East region indicate that both the annual mean and 24-hour UK objective for PM_{10} were complied with in 2017 and all the previous years.

Regional monitoring for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ has shown that the selected sites in the South East complied with the national annual mean limit value in 2017 and all previous years. All those sites have shown a decreasing trend throughout the monitoring period.

Appendices

Appendix A: Monitoring Results for 2017

Appendix B: Full Monitoring Results for 2017

Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs

Appendix E: Industrial Processes

Appendix F: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Appendix A: Monitoring Results for 2017

Table A1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

| Site ID | Site Name | Site Type | X OS GridRef | Y OS Grid Ref | Pollutants Monitored | In AQMA? | Monitoring Technique | Inlet Height | Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance (m) to relevant exposure) | Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) | Does this location represent worst-case exposure? |
|---------|------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|---|---|
| HO2 | Horsham Park Way | Roadside | 517485 | 130590 | NO ₂ ; PM ₁₀ | N | Chemiluminescence (APNA-370); TEOM | 3.0m | Y (7.0m) | 1.5m | Y |
| HO4 | Storrington AURN | Roadside | 509083 | 114198 | NO ₂ | N | Chemiluminescence (Thermo 32i) | 3.3m | Y (9.6m) | 4.6m | N |
| HO5 | Cowfold | Roadside | 521356 | 122553 | NO ₂ | Y | Chemiluminescence (ML9841B) | 2.0m | Y (4.0m) | 6.5m | N |

Table A2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

| Lab Ref. | Site Name | Site Location | Site Type | Triplicate or Co-located Tube? | OS Grid Ref X | OS Grid Ref Y | In AQMA? | Diffusion Tube Height | Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance to relevant exposure) | Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|---|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|---|--|
| Billingshurst Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Billingshurst 1 | 96 High Street | Roadside | N | 508623 | 125834 | N | 2.2m | Y (1.0m) | 1.5m |
| Cowfold Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12,20 | Cowfold 1,2 | Olde House, The Street, Cowfold | Roadside | Duplicate | 521324 | 122610 | Y | 2.7m | Y (2.5m) | 1.7m |
| 21 | Cowfold 3 | 6 Margaret Cotts, A272, Cowfold | Roadside | N | 521267 | 122677 | Y | 2.7m | Y (9.7m) | 2.0m |
| 35 | Cowfold 4 | Trelawny House, A281, Cowfold | Roadside | N | 521311 | 122704 | N | 2.4m | Y (9.3m) | 2.0m |
| 22 | Cowfold 5n | Junction Station Road/Thorndon, Station Road, Cowfold | Roadside | N | 521070 | 122706 | Y | 2.5m | Y (23.0m) | 3.6m |
| 36 | Cowfold 6n | Millers Cott. Henfield Road, Cowfold | Roadside | N | 521309 | 122248 | N | 2.2m | Y (3.0m) | 1.8m |
| 37 | Cowfold 7n | 3 Huntscroft Gardens, Bolney Road, Cowfold | Roadside | N | 521460 | 122473 | Y | 2.2m | Y (2.0m) | 1.1m |
| 43 | Cowfold 8n | 5-6 Fairfield Cottages, Cowfold | Urban Background | N | 521411 | 122667 | N | 2.0m | Y (7.0m) | 0.3m |
| 44,45,46 | Cowfold AU A/B/C | Bolney Road/The Street, Cowfold | Roadside | Triplicate, co-located with HO5 Cowfold | 521356 | 122552 | Y | 2.0m | Y (20.0m) | 6.5m |
| Henfield Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Henfield 1n | Golden Sq, jct of A2037 Barrow Hill and A281 Brighton Rd | Roadside | N | 521530 | 115738 | N | 2.0m | Y (0m) | 1.2m |
| 2 | Henfield 2n | Jct of A281 High Street & Cagefoot Ln | Roadside | N | 521492 | 115907 | N | 2.0m | Y (0m) | 2.0m |
| Horsham Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Horsham 1 | Park Way, Horsham | Roadside | N | 517489 | 130580 | N | 2.2m | Y (3.5m) | 2.0m |
| 3 | Horsham 3 | 69 Hillside, Horsham | Urban Background | N | 516000 | 130600 | N | 2.9m | Y (7.6m) | 1.5m |
| 4 | Horsham 4 | 45 Gorings Mead, Horsham | Urban Background | N | 517600 | 130100 | N | 2.5m | Y (9.8m) | 1.2m |
| 8 | Horsham 5 | Harwood Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | 518230 | 131140 | N | 2.4m | Y (9.6m) | 1.4m |
| 9 | Horsham 6 | 130 Rusper Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | 518650 | 132490 | N | 2.6m | Y (11.2m) | 1.5m |
| 10 | Horsham 7 | 30 Mill House, Warnham Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | 516952 | 132215 | N | 2.2m | Y (12.0m) | 2.0m |

Horsham District Council

| Lab Ref. | Site Name | Site Location | Site Type | Triplicate or Co-located Tube? | OS Grid Ref X | OS Grid Ref Y | In AQMA? | Diffusion Tube Height | Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance to relevant exposure) | Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|--|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|---|--|
| 11 | Horsham 8 | 54 Worthing Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | 516650 | 130220 | N | 3.0m | Y (8.0m) | 1.6m |
| 5,6,7 | Park Way | AQMS Horsham | Roadside | Triplicate, co-located with HO2 Horsham Park Way | 517489 | 130580 | N | 2.8m | Y (8.9m) | 2.1m |
| 23 | N. Horsham 1N | Home Fm, Langhurstwd Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | 517702 | 133570 | N | 2.4m | Y(4.9m) | 1.9m |
| 24 | N. Horsham 2N | Graylands Fm Cotts, Horsham | Roadside | N | 517476 | 134013 | N | 2.8m | Y (5.5m) | 1.0m |
| 48 | Horsham 9N | North St/Foundry Ln | Roadside | N | 518074 | 131164 | N | 2.0m | Y (1.0m) | 1.5m |
| 49 | Horsham 10N | Crawley Rd near Forest Rd | Roadside | N | 519624 | 132030 | N | 2.0m | Y (1.0m) | 2.0m |
| Pulborough Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Pulborough 1 | Swan Corner, Station Road, Pulborough | Kerbside | N | 504584 | 118568 | N | 2.0m | Y (1.7m) | 0.4m |
| 27 | Pulborough 2 | 42A Lower Street, Pulborough | Roadside | N | 505185 | 118623 | N | 3.0m | Y (1.8m) | 1.5m |
| Southwater Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | Southwater 1 | Opp. Southwater Infant Academy, Worthing Rd, Southwater | Roadside | N | 515639 | 126599 | N | 2.0m | Y (1.0m) | 1.5m |
| Steyning Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Steyning 4N | Church St, Steyning | Kerbside | N | 517732 | 111198 | N | 2.7m | Y (1.5m) | 0.9m |
| Storrington Sites | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13,14 | Storrington 1,2 | Manleys Hill, Storr duplicate | Roadside | Duplicate | 508960 | 114270 | Y | 3.0m | Y (2.5m) | 1.1m |
| 15 | Storrington 3 | 3 School Hill, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508935 | 114297 | Y | 2.0m | Y (2.0m) | 1.2m |
| 16 | Storrington 4 | 22 High Street, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508832 | 114272 | Y | 3.0m | Y (2.8m) | 2.2m |
| 17 | Storrington 5 | 2 West Street, Storrington (Post Office) | Roadside | N | 508742 | 114288 | Y | 3.5m | Y (1.9m) | 1.9m |
| 18 | Storrington 6 | 1-4 Holly Court, Pulborough Rd Storrington | Roadside | N | 508396 | 114449 | N | 2.4m | Y (7.7m) | 1.9m |
| 19 | Storrington 7 | The Willows, Amberley Rd, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508338 | 114374 | N | 3.0m | Y (6.7m) | 1.6m |
| 29,30,31 | Storrington 8/9/10 AURN | Manleys Hill AURN co-located | Roadside | Triplicate, co-located with HO4 Storrington AURN | 509083 | 114198 | N | 3.3m | Y (9.6m) | 4.6m |
| 34 | Storrington 11n | 53 West Street, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508511 | 114365 | Y | 3.0m | Y (1.0m) | 3.0m |

| Lab Ref. | Site Name | Site Location | Site Type | Triplicate or Co-located Tube? | OS Grid Ref X | OS Grid Ref Y | In AQMA? | Diffusion Tube Height | Relevant Exposure? (Y/N with distance to relevant exposure) | Distance to kerb of nearest road (N/A if not applicable) |
|----------|-----------------|---|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|---|--|
| 33 | Storrington 12n | 3 Rectory Cottage Storrington | Roadside | N | 508598 | 114323 | Y | 2.6m | Y (7.0m) | 2.3m |
| 32 | Storrington 13n | 18 West Street, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508675 | 114306 | Y | 2.2m | Y (0.5m) | 3.0m |
| 38 | Storrington 14n | Cobden, Manleys Hill, Storrington | Roadside | N | 509319 | 114160 | N | 2.6m | Y (20.0m) | 0.9m |
| 40 | Storrington 15n | Fryern Road, Storrington | Roadside | N | 509103 | 114532 | N | 2.2m | Y (12.0m) | 1.7m |
| 39 | Storrington 16n | Mill Parade, Waitrose car park, Storrington | Roadside | N | 508905 | 114325 | N | 2.6m | Y (0m) | 1.3m |
| 41 | Storrington 17n | 33 Church Street, Storrington | Urban Background | N | 508677 | 114149 | N | 2.2m | Y (1.0m) | 1.5m |
| 42 | Storrington 18n | 20 Amberley Road, Storrington (Barges End) | Roadside | N | 508215 | 114348 | N | 2.2m | Y (5.0m) | 1.9m |
| 47 | Storrington 19n | jct of A283 Manley's Hill and School Hill | Roadside | N | 508945 | 114268 | Y | 2.0m | Y (0m) | 1.0m |

Table A3 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of NO₂: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2006 – 2017

| Site ID/Name | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Relevant public exposure? Y/N | Valid Data Capture 2017 % ⁽¹⁾ | Annual Mean Concentration µg/m ³ | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------------|-------------------|------|------|
| | | | | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | Roadside | N | Y | 99.8 | 26 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 30.4 | 27.0 | 28.6 | 29.9 | 25.4 | 26.5 ^a | 28.6 | 26.2 |
| HO4 Storrington AURN | Roadside | N | Y | 99.6 | - | - | - | 21* | 27.6 | 23.4 | 24.8 | 26.9 | 22.4 ^a | 21.3 | 25.1 | 22.7 |
| HO5 Cowfold | Roadside | Y | Y | 97.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 27.0 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 27.9 ^a | 25.5 | 27.2 | 29.5 |

Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

If applicable, all data has been distance corrected for relevant exposure

* Indicative value only. The NO₂ annual mean has been estimated from 48nratified data for period 21.10.09 – 31.12.2009.

^a Annual mean concentration “annualised” as per Box 7.9 of TG(16) as data capture less than 75%.

⁽¹⁾ Data capture for the full calendar year.

Table A4 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of NO₂: Comparison with 1-hour Mean Objective 2006 – 2017

| Site ID/Name | Site Type | Within AQMA ? | Relevant public exposure? Y/N | Valid Data Capture 2017 % ⁽¹⁾ | Number of Exceedances of Hourly Mean (200 µg/m ³) ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|--|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| | | | | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | Roadside | N | Y | 99.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 (102.9) | 0 | 0 |
| HO4 Storrington AURN | Roadside | N | Y | 99.6 | - | - | - | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 (78.7) | 0 (85.1) | 0 (102.7) | 0 |
| HO5 Cowfold | Roadside | Y | Y | 97.3 | - | - | - | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 (120) | 0 (98.7) | 0 | 0 |

⁽¹⁾ Data capture for the full calendar year.

⁽²⁾ If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 99.8th percentile of hourly means is included in brackets.

Figure A1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2006 – 2017




Table A5 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM₁₀: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2007 – 2017

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA ? | Relevant public exposure? Y/N | Valid Data Capture 2017 % ⁽¹⁾ | Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent (Y or NA) | Annual Mean Concentration µg/m ³ | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|
| | | | | | | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | R | N | Y | 86.6 | Y | 24.9 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 18.3 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 20.9 | 18.6 ^a | 18.0 | 18.2 |
| Reigate & Banstead RG1 – Michael Crescent, Horley (Comparison Site) | S | N | Y (NO ₂) | 98.9 | Y | 23.3* | 19.7 | 18.8 | 18.7** | 21.7 | 19.4 | 20.1 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 16.6 | 16.2 |
| Chatham AURN | R | Y (NO ₂) | Y | 95.9 | Y | - | - | - | - | 24.1 | 20.8 | 23.1 | 21.4 | 18.5 | 19.2 | 21.6 |
| Rochester Stoke AURN | S | N | N/A | 91.8 | Y | 22.8* | 19.8 | - | - | - | 15.9 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 14.6 | 15.8 | 16.6 |

☑ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

* TEOM data has been corrected using the default 1.3 correction factor to estimate gravimetric concentrations.

** Data not fully ratified.

^a Annual mean concentration “annualised” as per Box 7.9 of TG(16) as data capture less than 75%.

(1) Data capture for the full calendar year.

R – Roadside; S – Suburban

TEOM, TEOM FDMS, BAM

Table A6 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM₁₀: Comparison with 24-hour Mean Objective 2007 – 2017

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA ? | Relevant public exposure? Y/N | Valid Data Capture 2017 % ⁽¹⁾ | Confirm Gravimetric Equivalent (Y or NA) | Number of Exceedances of 24-Hour Mean (50 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year) ⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|------|------|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|------|
| | | | | | | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | R | N | Y | 86.6 | Y | 17 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 11 (39) | 9 (38) | 2 (33) | 4 (32) | 2 (29.3) | 4 | 2 |
| Reigate & Banstead RG1 – Michael Crescent, Horley | S | Y (NO ₂) | N/A | 98.9 | Y | 9* | 5 | 4 | 1** | 9 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 3 (28.9) | 3 | 2 |
| Chatham AURN | R | Y (NO ₂) | Y | 95.9 | Y | - | - | - | - | 20 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Rochester Stoke AURN | S | N | N/A | 91.8 | Y | 8* | 2 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 8 | 2 (24) | 4 (32) | 4 |

* TEOM data has been corrected using the default 1.3 correction factor to estimate gravimetric concentrations.

** Data not fully ratified.

⁽¹⁾ Data capture for the full calendar year.

⁽²⁾ If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 90.4th percentile of 24-hour means is included in brackets.

R - Roadside; S – Suburban

TEOM, TEOM FDMS, BAM

Figure A2 – Trends in Annual Mean PM₁₀ Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2007 – 2017



Table A7 – Results of Automatic Monitoring of PM_{2.5}: Comparison with Annual Mean Objective 2010 – 2017

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA? | PM _{2.5} Annual Mean (µg/m ³)* / (Valid Data Capture) | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | Roadside | N | 13.0 ^e (98.9) | 16.8 ^e (89.1) | 18.3 ^e (86.2) | 16.1 ^e (88) | 14.6 ^e (84) | 13.2 ^e (60.8) | 12.6 ^e (81.1) | 12.7 ^e (86.6) |
| Eastbourne AURN (Comparison Site) | Urban Background | N/A | 13.4 (93.5) | 16.4 (98.2) | 15.7 (95.2) | 15.3 (98.7) | 12.2 (75.8) | 12.3 ^a (67.4) | 14.4 ^a (73.8) | 11.3 (96.3) |
| Chatham AURN | Roadside | Y (NO ₂) | - | 17.0 (99.4) | 16.8 (98.5) | 13.4 (94.2) | 13.5 (96.1) | 11.8 (90.3) | 11.5 (75.5) | 14.1 (95.2) |
| Rochester Stoke AURN | Suburban | N/A | - | 14.1 (84.1) | 14.3 (91.7) | 16.3 (88.8) | 15.0 (79.6) | 8.7 (94.8) | 11.3 (87.3) | 9.7 (89.9) |

* As a comparison, the UK Air Quality Standard objective for PM_{2.5} is 25µg/m³ (target value) for England

^a Annual mean concentration “annualised” as per Box 7.9 of TG(16) as data capture less than 75%. Annualised results obtained from Eastbourne 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report

^e PM_{2.5} values for HO2 Horsham Park Way were estimated from the PM₁₀ data using Storrington AURN ratio of PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ as per method described in Box 7.7 of TG(16). UK average ratio of 0.7 was used for 2014 where local data was not available.

Figure A3 – Trends in Annual Mean PM_{2.5} Concentrations Measured at Automatic Monitoring Sites 2010 – 2017




Table A8 – Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes in 2017

| Site ID | Location | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Triplicate or Collocated Tube | Data Capture 2017 (%) | Data with less than 9 months has been annualised (Y/N) | Confirm if data has been distance corrected (Y/N) | Annual Mean Concentration (Local Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.78) |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| Billingshurst Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Billingshurst 1 | 96 High Street | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 30.6 |
| Cowfold Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Cowfold 1,2 | Olde House, The Street, Cowfold | Roadside | N | Duplicate | 91.7; 100.0 | N | N | 37.6 |
| Cowfold 3 | 6 Margaret Cotts, A272, Cowfold | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 33.1 |
| Cowfold 4 | Trelawny House, A281, Cowfold | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 29.5 |
| Cowfold 5n | Junction Station Road/Thornden. Station Road, Cowfold | Roadside | Y | N | 91.7 | N | N | 29.7 |
| Cowfold 6n | Millers Cott. Henfield Road, Cowfold | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 26.4 |
| Cowfold 7n | 3 Huntscroft Gardens, Bolney Road, Cowfold | Roadside | Y | N | 100.0 | N | N | 43.8 |
| Cowfold 8n | 5-6 Fairfield Cottages, Cowfold | Urban Background | Y | N | 100.0 | N | N | 13.9 |
| Cowfold AU A,B,C | Bolney Road/The Street, Cowfold | Roadside | Y | triplicate | 100.0; 100.0; 100.0 | N | N | 27.0 |
| Henfield Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Henfield 1n | Golden Sq, jct of A2037 Barrow Hill and A281 Brighton Rd | Roadside | N | N | 41.7 | Y | N | 26.1 ^a |
| Henfield 2n | Jct of A281 High Street & Cagefoot Ln | Roadside | N | N | 50.0 | Y | N | 26.3 ^a |

Horsham District Council

| Site ID | Location | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Triplicate or Collocated Tube | Data Capture 2017 (%) | Data with less than 9 months has been annualised (Y/N) | Confirm if data has been distance corrected (Y/N) | Annual Mean Concentration (Local Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.78) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| Horsham Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Horsham 1N | Park Street, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 31.6 |
| Horsham 3N | 69 Hillside, Horsham | Urban Background | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 11.4 |
| Horsham 4N | 45 Gorings Mead, Horsham | Urban Background | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 11.0 |
| Horsham 5N | Harwood Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 91.7 | N | N | 27.8 |
| Horsham 6N | 130 Rusper Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 23.8 |
| Horsham 7N | 30 Warnham Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 27.2 |
| Horsham 8N | 54 Worthing Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 23.6 |
| Park Way | AQMS Horsham | Roadside | N | Triplicate & co-located | 100.0 | N | N | 24.4 |
| N. Horsham 1N | Home Fm, Langhurstwd Rd, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 24.6 |
| N. Horsham 2N | Graylands Fm Cotts, Horsham | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 19.4 |
| Horsham 9N | North St/Foundry Ln | Roadside | N | N | 91.7 | N | N | 31.0 |
| Horsham 10N | Crawley Rd near Forest Rd | Roadside | N | N | 25.0 | Y | N | 20.8 ^a |
| Pulborough Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Pulborough 1 | Swan Corner Station Road, Pulborough | Kerbside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 32.9 |
| Pulborough 2 | 42A Lower Street, Pulborough | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 21.1 |
| Southwater Sites | | | | | | | | |

Horsham District Council

| Site ID | Location | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Triplicate or Collocated Tube | Data Capture 2017 (%) | Data with less than 9 months has been annualised (Y/N) | Confirm if data has been distance corrected (Y/N) | Annual Mean Concentration (Local Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.78) |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| Southwater 1 | Opp. Southwater Infant Academy, Worthing Rd, Southwater | Roadside | N | N | 66.7 | Y | N | 24.5 ^a |
| Steyping Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Steyping 4N | Church St Steyping | Kerbside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 20.0 |
| Storrington Sites | | | | | | | | |
| Storrington 1,2 | Manleys Hill, Storr duplicate | Roadside | Y | Duplicate | 100.0; 91.7 | N | N | 40.7 |
| Storrington 3 | 3 School Hill, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 91.7 | N | N | 31.6 |
| Storrington 4 | 22 High Street, Storrington | Roadside | Y | N | 100.0 | N | N | 37.5 |
| Storrington 5 | 2 West Street, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 91.7 | N | N | 27.4 |
| Storrington 6 | 1-4 Holly Court, Pulborough Rd Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 24.3 |
| Storrington 7 | The Willows, Amberley Rd, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 21.5 |
| Storrington 8,9,10 AURN | Manleys Hill AURN co-located | Roadside | N | Triplicate & co-located | 100.0 | N | N | 25.5 |
| Storrington 11n | 53 West Street, Storrington | Roadside | Y | N | 100.0 | N | N | 37.5 |
| Storrington 12n | 3 Rectory Cottage Storrington | Roadside | Y | N | 91.7 | N | N | 29.0 |
| Storrington 13n | 18 West Street, Storrington | Roadside | Y | N | 91.7 | N | N | 31.1 |

| Site ID | Location | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Triplicate or Collocated Tube | Data Capture 2017 (%) | Data with less than 9 months has been annualised (Y/N) | Confirm if data has been distance corrected (Y/N) | Annual Mean Concentration (Local Bias Adjustment Factor = 0.78) |
|-----------------|---|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
| Storrington 14n | Cobden, Washington Rd | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | Y | 21.6 |
| Storrington 15n | Fryern Road, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 20.3 |
| Storrington 16n | Mill Parade, Waitrose car park, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 23.5 |
| Storrington 17n | 33 Church Street, Storrington | Urban Background | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 12.9 |
| Storrington 18n | 20 Amberley Road, Storrington | Roadside | N | N | 100.0 | N | N | 20.4 |
| Storrington 19n | jct of A283 Manley's Hill and School Hill | Roadside | Y | N | 100.0 | N | N | 56.4 |

^a Annual mean concentration “annualised” as per Box 7.10 of TG(16) as data capture less than 75%. Appendix C gives details of ‘annualisation’ for 2017. In **bold**, exceedance of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$

Table A9 – Results of Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tubes (2010 to 2017)

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Annual mean concentration (adjusted for bias) $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | 2008 (NBF = 0.93) | 2009 (NBF = 0.81) | 2010 (LBF = 0.81) | 2011 (LBF=0.78 & 0.8) | 2012 (NBF=0.79 & LBF=0.89, 0.77 & 0.82) | 2013 (NBF=0.8 & LBF=0.92, 0.82 & 0.71) | 2014 (NBF = 0.81) | 2015 (LBF = 0.81) | 2016 (LBF = 0.78) | 2017 (LBF = 0.78) |
| Billingshurst Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Billingshurst 1 | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | 30.8 | 28.8 | 30.0 | 30.1 | 30.6 |
| Cowfold Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cowfold 1,2 (duplicate) | R | N | 46.3 | 45.4 | 43.4 | 40.5 (39.5) | 39.2 (40.6) | 37.5 (33.3) | 37.8 | 36.0 | 39.6 | 37.6 |
| Cowfold 3 | R | N | 41.2 | 39.1 | 36.4 | 35.2 (34.4) | 32.5(33.7) | 33.8 (30.0) | 31.6 | 31.8 | 34.6 | 33.1 |
| Cowfold 4 | R | N | 34.7 | 35.4 | 33.3 | 29.4 (28.7) | 29.5(30.6) | 28.7 (25.5) | 29.7 | 24.6 | 30.9 | 29.5 |
| Cowfold 5n | R | Y | - | - | 30.5* | 27.4 (26.8) | 28.7(29.8) | 25.7 (22.8) | 23.9 | 29.9 | 26.7 | 29.7 |
| Cowfold 6n | R | N | - | - | 32.4* | 27.4 (26.7) | 28.9(30.0) | 26.0 (23.1) | 26.6 | 24.6 | 26.9 | 26.4 |
| Cowfold 7n | R | Y | - | - | 47.8* | 45.9 (44.8) | 43.8(45.4) | 41.0 (36.4) | 40.7 | 42.9 | 46.5 | 43.8 |
| Cowfold 8n | UB | Y | - | - | - | 16.0 (15.6) | 15.0(15.5) | 14.3 (12.7) | 11.8 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 13.9 |
| Cowfold AU A,B,C (triplicate) | R | Y | - | - | - | 26.7 (26.1) | 28.2 (29.3) | 27.0 (25.0) | 27.2 | 25.4 | 27.5 | 27.0 |
| Henfield Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Henfield 1n | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28.7 ^a | 26.1 ^a |
| Henfield 2n | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26.3 ^a |
| Horsham Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Horsham 1N | R | N | 38.2 | 37.1 | 36.0 | 33.7 (32.0) | 33.2 (37.4) | 25.6 (29.5) | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.1 | 31.6 |
| Horsham 3N | UB | N | 16.2 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 12.8 (12.2) | 12.4(14.0) | 13.6 (15.7) | 11.6 | 10.3 | 13.0 | 11.4 |
| Horsham 4N | UB | N | 15.2 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 12.9 (12.3) | 12.4(14.0) | 12.9 (14.8) | 9.4 | 11.0 | 12.9 | 11.0 |
| Horsham 5N | R | N | 36.9 | 32.1 | 33.2 | 27.8 (26.5) | 27.4 (30.8) | 28.0 (32.2) | 23.8 | 30.4 ^a | 31.4 | 27.8 |
| Horsham 6N | R | N | 30.9 | 27.7 | 28.8 | 25.0 (23.7) | 26.6 (30.0) | 23.8 (27.4) | 21.8 | 21.2 | 25.7 | 23.8 |
| Horsham 7N | R | N | 32.2 | 28.9 | 29.3 | 26.6 (25.3) | 26.0 (29.3) | 26.3 (30.2) | 26.8 | 26.6 | 28.9 | 27.2 |
| Horsham 8N | R | N | 30.0 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 23.8 (22.6) | 22.5 (25.3) | 23.8 (27.3) | 22.5 | 21.1 | 25.2 | 23.6 |
| Park Way (triplicate) | R | N | 30.8 | 28.7 | 30.3 | 26.0 (24.7) | 25.0 (28.2) | 25.9 (29.8) | 24.0 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 24.4 |
| N. Horsham 1N | R | N | 29.6 | 27.9 | 23.7 | 24.2 (23.0) | 25.8 (29.1) | 21.9 (25.2) | 23.0 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 24.6 |

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Annual mean concentration (adjusted for bias) µg/m ³ | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | 2008 (NBF = 0.93) | 2009 (NBF = 0.81) | 2010 (LBF = 0.81) | 2011 (LBF=0.78 & 0.8) | 2012 (NBF=0.79 & LBF=0.89, 0.77 & 0.82) | 2013 (NBF=0.8 & LBF=0.92, 0.82 & 0.71) | 2014 (NBF = 0.81) | 2015 (LBF = 0.81) | 2016 (LBF = 0.78) | 2017 (LBF = 0.78) |
| N. Horsham 2N | R | N | 24.2 | 22.1 | 19.4 | 18.8 (17.9) | 19.9 (22.5) | 19.2 (22.0) | 18.9 | 17.4 | 20.5 | 19.4 |
| Horsham 9N | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 31.0 |
| Horsham 10N | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.8 ^a |
| Pulborough Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pulborough 1 | K | N | 37.2 | 39.2 | 40.2 | 33.1 (31.5) | 31.7 | 40.5 (41.5) 32.5 ^b | 31.1 | 31.3 | 35.4 | 32.9 |
| Pulborough 2 | R | N | 52.1* | 26.3 | 28.0 | 22.3 (21.2) | 24.7 | 39.1 (31.3) ^a | 21.5 | 20.1 | 23.5 | 21.1 |
| Southwater Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southwater 1 | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24.5 ^a |
| Steyning Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Steyning 4N | K | N | 27.4 | 26.2 | 26.8 | 28.4 (27.1) | 22.3 | 24.4 | 20.1 | 29.2 | 22.7 | 20.0 |
| Storrington Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Storrington 1,2 (duplicate) | R | N | 49.8 | 50.7 | 50.2 | 45.1 (42.9) | 42.7 (41.6) | 41.0 (42.0) | 37.3 | 39.2 | 42.1 | 40.7 |
| Storrington 3 | R | N | 39.7 | 38.0 | 37.5 | 33.4 (31.8) | 35.1 (34.2) | 31.9 (32.7) | 28.8 | 27.7 | 30.4 | 31.6 |
| Storrington 4 | R | N | 39.8 | 43.4 | 42.0 | 42.0 (40.0) | 40.9 (39.9) | 38.2 (39.2) | 35.1 | 36.1 | 37.5 | 37.5 |
| Storrington 5 | R | N | 32.2 | 27.9 | 32.4 | 25.8 (24.6) | 26.9(26.2) | 27.0 (27.6) | 23.3 | 23.5 | 26.9 | 27.4 |
| Storrington 6 | R | N | 27.6 | 28.1 | 27.4 | 21.0 (19.9) | 23.9(23.3) | 24.5 (25.2) | 24.2 | 21.7 | 23.7 | 24.3 |
| Storrington 7 | R | N | 27.1 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 24.6 (23.4) | 22.4(21.8) | 23.1 (23.7) | 18.7 | 20.5 | 23.4 | 21.5 |
| Storrington 8,9,10 AURN (triplicate) | R | N | - | 29.2* | 27.4 | 24.5 (23.3) | 25.6 (25.0) | 25.8 (24.2) | 22.4 | 24.1 | 26.5 | 25.5 |
| Storrington 11n | R | Y | - | - | 35.8* | 39.3 (37.4) | 38.4(37.4) | 39.0 (40.0) | 36.2 | 37.8 | 38.3 | 37.5 |
| Storrington 12n | R | Y | - | - | 31.6* | 32.8 (31.2) | 31.2(30.4) | 30.5 (31.3) | 28.0 | 25.8 | 29.3 | 29.0 |
| Storrington 13n | R | Y | - | - | 35.3* | 30.5 (29.0) | 32.1(31.3) | 33.7 (34.5) | 28.2 | 27.5 | 31.7 | 31.1 |
| Storrington 14n | R | N | - | - | - | 45.8 (43.6) | 22.6 ^b | 22.9 ^b | 22.2 ^b | 23.2 ^b | 22.8 ^b | 21.6 ^b |
| Storrington 15n | R | N | - | - | - | 20.5 (19.5) | 19.1(18.6) | 20.8 (21.3) | 19.7 | 18.3 | 20.3 | 20.3 |
| Storrington 16n | R | N | - | - | - | 25.5 (24.3) | 24.0(23.4) | 25.6 (26.3) | 26.3 | 23.1 | 24.2 | 23.5 |
| Storrington 17n | UB | N | - | - | - | 15.4 (14.6) | 16.1(15.7) | 15.8 (16.2) | 12.9 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 12.9 |

| Site ID | Site Type | Within AQMA? | Annual mean concentration (adjusted for bias) $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | 2008 (NBF = 0.93) | 2009 (NBF = 0.81) | 2010 (LBF = 0.81) | 2011 (LBF=0.78 & 0.8) | 2012 (NBF=0.79 & LBF=0.89, 0.77 & 0.82) | 2013 (NBF=0.8 & LBF=0.92, 0.82 & 0.71) | 2014 (NBF = 0.81) | 2015 (LBF = 0.81) | 2016 (LBF = 0.78) | 2017 (LBF = 0.78) |
| Storrington 18n | R | N | - | - | - | 21.4 (20.4) | 19.7(19.2) | 21.0 (21.5) | 17.2 | 16.4 | 21.9 | 20.4 |
| Storrington 19n | R | N | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 59.8^a | 56.4 |

^a Annual mean concentration “annualised” as per Box 7.10 of TG(16) as data capture less than 75%. Appendix C gives details of ‘annualisation’ for 2017.

^b Tubes adjusted using the Defra’s ‘Distance from Roads Calculator’ to calculate exposure at the facade of the nearest residential property.

* Denotes diffusion tubes that have not been in position for a sufficient period to give a reliable annual mean.

K – Kerbside; R-Roadside; UB – Urban background

In **red bold**, exceedance of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

In **red**, concentrations equal or above 36 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (within 10% of the NO₂ annual mean objective of 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Figure A4 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017: Horsham




Figure A5 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017: Storrington




Figure A6 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017: Cowfold





Figure A7 – Trends in Annual Mean NO₂ Concentrations measured at Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites 2008 – 2017: Billingshurst; Henfield; Pulborough, Southwater & Steyning



Appendix B: Full Monitoring Results for 2017

Table B1 – Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2017

| Lab Ref. | Site Name | NO ₂ Concentrations µg/m ³ | | | | | | | | | | | | COUNT | % DATA CAPTURE | AVERAGE |
|----------|----------------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|----------------|---------|
| | | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | | | |
| 1 | Horsham 1N | 58.2 | 44.1 | 42.6 | 39.6 | 37.5 | 31.7 | 31.1 | 32.2 | 38.8 | 37.9 | 47.4 | 44.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 40.5 |
| 2 | Henfield 1n | 45.7 | 40.7 | 37.8 | 39.3 | 28.1 | | | | | | | | 5.0 | 41.7 | 38.3 |
| 2 | Henfield 2n | | | | | | 23.9 | 24.3 | 26.4 | 28.6 | | 42.4 | 37.9 | 6.0 | 50.0 | 30.6 |
| 3 | Horsham 3N | 25.4 | 19.5 | 18.3 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 18.8 | 19.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 14.6 |
| 4 | Horsham 4N | 22.3 | 20.3 | 16.4 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 14.1 |
| 5 | Park Way | 41.7 | 33.0 | 34.2 | 32.9 | 28.0 | 25.8 | 22.8 | 24.9 | 27.0 | 29.5 | 39.6 | 34.1 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 31.1 |
| 6 | Park Way | 35.2 | 36.7 | 34.8 | 34.5 | 27.2 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 23.8 | 28.6 | 29.6 | 40.2 | 37.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 31.3 |
| 7 | Park Way | 41.8 | 32.9 | 31.4 | 36.2 | 28.4 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 29.4 | 35.5 | 37.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 31.3 |
| 8 | Horsham 5N | 46.1 | 41.6 | 39.3 | 34.2 | 30.8 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 29.8 | 30.0 | | 47.0 | 43.4 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 35.7 |
| 9 | Horsham 6N | 42.5 | 33.2 | 34.5 | 33.8 | 19.1 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 25.2 | 27.4 | 30.5 | 41.3 | 36.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 30.6 |
| 10 | Horsham 7N | 50.7 | 42.6 | 38.5 | 36.4 | 28.4 | 25.7 | 23.8 | 28.1 | 28.8 | 31.2 | 44.3 | 40.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 34.9 |
| 11 | Horsham 8N | 36.2 | 38.6 | 35.6 | 30.6 | 28.8 | 21.8 | 20.5 | 24.7 | 28.5 | 25.9 | 37.5 | 34.7 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 30.3 |
| 12 | Cowfold 1 | 60.7 | 57.1 | 52.1 | 52.9 | 42.5 | 44.1 | 35.6 | 42.5 | 42.4 | | 44.6 | 43.7 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 47.1 |
| 13 | Storrington 1 | 58.1 | 53.4 | 59.6 | 60.6 | 51.8 | 45.9 | 40.4 | 45.1 | 49.2 | 56.2 | 64.1 | 47.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 52.6 |
| 14 | Storrington 2 | 49.4 | 55.9 | 43.7 | 58.9 | 52.8 | 48.6 | 50.6 | 52.6 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 61.1 | 40.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 51.8 |
| 15 | Storrington 3 | 34.7 | 38.6 | 36.5 | 41.1 | 32.9 | | 36.9 | 42.8 | 44.3 | 44.5 | 46.0 | 47.0 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 40.5 |
| 16 | Storrington 4 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 54.9 | 48.8 | 46.6 | 48.0 | 41.8 | 42.7 | 44.1 | 46.8 | 50.3 | 39.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 48.1 |
| 17 | Storrington 5 | 44.5 | 38.7 | 41.9 | 40.3 | 33.2 | 25.9 | 28.4 | 30.5 | 30.1 | | 36.8 | 36.1 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 35.1 |
| 18 | Storrington 6 | 43.8 | 34.7 | 33.6 | 29.0 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 23.3 | 27.5 | 26.1 | 29.3 | 34.8 | 35.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 31.2 |
| 19 | Storrington 7 | 37.2 | 28.5 | 30.9 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.9 | 32.9 | 22.6 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 27.6 |
| 20 | Cowfold 2 | 57.5 | 50.4 | 51.3 | 51.0 | 45.0 | 43.6 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 46.3 | 53.1 | 45.6 | 56.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 49.3 |
| 21 | Cowfold 3 | 53.3 | 48.3 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 43.9 | 37.7 | 40.0 | 38.2 | 36.4 | 39.0 | 43.4 | 40.9 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 42.4 |
| 22 | Cowfold 5n | 39.4 | 47.7 | 40.8 | 44.8 | 32.9 | 33.2 | 28.4 | 34.4 | 31.5 | 34.3 | 34.7 | 51.1 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 37.8 |
| 23 | N. Horsham 1N | 41.4 | 39.0 | 32.3 | 28.1 | 22.6 | 26.4 | 24.6 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 31.9 | 38.5 | 39.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 31.5 |
| 24 | N. Horsham 2N | 33.0 | 29.2 | 27.3 | 25.5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 21.3 | 26.6 | 28.7 | 25.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 24.9 |
| 25 | Steyning 4N | 40.5 | 32.6 | 28.9 | 28.0 | 25.9 | 18.4 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 24.5 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 25.7 |
| 26 | Pulborough 1 | 44.0 | 42.0 | 47.6 | 49.2 | 44.2 | 37.0 | 38.7 | 39.1 | 37.2 | 40.6 | 45.6 | 40.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 42.1 |
| 27 | Pulborough 2 | 33.9 | 32.9 | 29.8 | 28.0 | 25.1 | 19.3 | 19.5 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 26.1 | 33.0 | 30.8 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 27.1 |
| 28 | Billingshurst 1 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 37.9 | 40.2 | 33.4 | 31.6 | 29.5 | 31.7 | 37.4 | 44.1 | 44.7 | 47.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 39.2 |
| 29 | Storrington 8 AURN | 35.7 | 34.8 | 34.6 | 37.7 | 34.3 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 29.2 | 37.9 | 26.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 32.1 |
| 30 | Storrington 9 AURN | 42.7 | 35.0 | 39.1 | 37.1 | 36.1 | 27.9 | 30.3 | 30.6 | 31.9 | 30.7 | 37.2 | 30.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 34.1 |
| 31 | Storrington 10n AURN | 34.7 | 34.5 | 34.3 | 33.9 | 32.6 | 28.8 | 27.3 | 31.7 | 28.7 | 29.9 | 37.6 | 31.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 32.1 |
| 32 | Storrington 13n | 57.8 | 41.8 | 47.6 | 38.5 | 39.3 | 30.2 | | 30.3 | 33.7 | 34.1 | 45.4 | 39.2 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 39.8 |
| 33 | Storrington 12n | 53.0 | 44.1 | 47.6 | 36.7 | 35.7 | 27.4 | 24.8 | | 26.0 | 34.5 | 41.4 | 37.5 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 36.9 |
| 34 | Storrington 11n | 52.5 | 58.4 | 53.1 | 47.7 | 44.7 | 49.2 | 48.8 | 44.2 | 44.9 | 44.5 | 49.3 | 39.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 48.0 |

Horsham District Council

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|
| 35 | Cowfold 4 | 34.4 | 43.8 | 42.0 | 41.5 | 30.2 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 42.5 | 28.1 | | 42.2 | 41.6 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 38.0 |
| 36 | Cowfold 6n | 42.4 | 40.3 | 36.0 | 35.0 | 29.7 | 27.3 | 27.2 | 28.7 | 30.6 | 32.7 | 40.5 | 35.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 33.8 |
| 37 | Cowfold 7n | 70.1 | 56.9 | 57.0 | 65.2 | 52.6 | 55.5 | 49.9 | 55.9 | 50.5 | 51.7 | 46.1 | 62.3 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 56.1 |
| 38 | Storrington 14n | 71.7 | 54.9 | 56.7 | 61.5 | 52.8 | 54.7 | 45.5 | 56.4 | 52.6 | 52.4 | 55.9 | 46.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 55.1 |
| 39 | Storrington 16n | 37.9 | 34.4 | 32.2 | 30.5 | 29.4 | 28.1 | 25.1 | 27.8 | 27.9 | 31.2 | 27.6 | 29.9 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 30.2 |
| 40 | Storrington 15n | 37.0 | 32.1 | 25.2 | 23.9 | 20.7 | 20.1 | 21.9 | 20.7 | 22.6 | 28.0 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 26.0 |
| 41 | Storrington 17n | 27.4 | 21.1 | 22.4 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 19.7 | 17.1 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 16.5 |
| 42 | Storrington 18n | 36.3 | 30.6 | 32.3 | 27.3 | 25.5 | 21.7 | 16.9 | 22.5 | 22.1 | 25.8 | 26.9 | 25.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 26.1 |
| 43 | Cowfold 8n | 20.5 | 24.8 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 13.6 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 17.8 |
| 44 | Cowfold AU A | 40.2 | 39.0 | 33.1 | 35.8 | 32.7 | 30.4 | 28.5 | 29.8 | 34.5 | 36.1 | 36.7 | 32.9 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 34.1 |
| 45 | Cowfold AU B | 42.8 | 39.8 | 36.7 | 39.2 | 32.3 | 31.7 | 27.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 35.8 | 39.8 | 31.8 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 35.1 |
| 46 | Cowfold AU C | 43.3 | 36.4 | 35.8 | 36.7 | 34.3 | 33.1 | 30.5 | 29.4 | 32.6 | 35.6 | 34.7 | 34.1 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 34.7 |
| 47 | Storrington 19n | 62.6 | 72.4 | 74.0 | 77.8 | 70.9 | 75.4 | 73.6 | 72.1 | 74.9 | 71.6 | 73.3 | 68.8 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 72.3 |
| 48 | Horsham 9N | 36.1 | | 45.0 | 47.7 | 34.7 | 33.6 | 29.2 | 34.3 | 36.0 | 41.6 | 53.4 | 45.4 | 11.0 | 91.7 | 39.7 |
| 49 | Horsham 10N | 32.0 | 40.5 | | 34.0 | | | | | | | | | 3.0 | 25.0 | 35.5 |
| 49 | Southwater 1 | | | | | 24.2 | 27.4 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 28.4 | 30.2 | 35.3 | 25.2 | 8.0 | 66.7 | 28.1 |


Value = Value removed from the dataset prior to processing. Henfield 2N – tube upside down; Cowfold 1N - low value; Storrington 12 – spiders in tube

Value = Tube height reduced. Storrington 3 – height reduced from 3.2m to 2.0m

Value = Overhanging tree branches. Results should be treated with caution.

Figure B1 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO₂ & PM₁₀ Concentrations, HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017

1-hr mean NO₂ & PM₁₀ concentrations at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017




Min = minimum; Max = maximum, mean, 1st Qu. = First quartile; 3rd Qu. = Third quartile; NA's = missing data

Data plotted using openair.

Figure B2 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 24-hr mean PM₁₀ Concentrations, HO2 Horsham Park Way & Comparison Sites, 2017

24-hour mean PM₁₀ concentrations at HO2 Horsham Park Way & comparison sites, 2017




Min = minimum; Max = maximum, mean, 1st Qu. = First quartile; 3rd Qu. = Third quartile; NA's = missing data

Data plotted using openair.

Figure B3 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO₂ and PM₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017


Pollutant concentrations by month, 2017



Data plotted using openair.




Figure B4 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for PM₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way & Comparison Sites, 2017

PM₁₀ concentrations by month, 2017






Data plotted using openair.

Figure B5 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO₂ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017



Data plotted using openair.


Figure B6 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for PM₁₀ at HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017



Data plotted using openair.

Figure B7 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO₂ Concentrations, HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017

1-hr mean NO₂ Concentrations at HO4 Storrington AURN




Min = minimum; Max = maximum, mean, 1st Qu. = First quartile; 3rd Qu. = Third quartile; NA's = missing data

Data plotted using openair.




Figure B8 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO₂ at HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017

Pollutant concentrations by month, 2017



Data plotted using openair.


Figure B9 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO₂ at HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017



Data plotted using openair.

Figure B10 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean NO₂ Concentrations, HO5 Cowfold, 2017

1-hr mean NO₂ Concentrations at HO5 Cowfold




Min = minimum; Max = maximum, mean, 1st Qu. = First quartile; 3rd Qu. = Third quartile; NA's = missing data

Data plotted using openair.




Figure B11 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for NO₂ at HO5 Cowfold, 2017

Pollutant concentrations by month, 2017



Data plotted using openair.


Figure B12 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for NO₂ at HO5 Cowfold, 2017



Data plotted using openair.


Figure B13 – Continuous Monitoring Results: 1-hr mean PM_{2.5} Concentrations: Comparison Sites, 2017

1-hour mean PM_{2.5} concentrations, South East sites, 2017



Data plotted using openair.


Figure B14 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Monthly Concentrations for PM_{2.5}: Comparison Sites, 2017




Data plotted using openair.

Figure B15 – Continuous Monitoring Results: Day of Week Concentrations for PM_{2.5} at Eastbourne, 2017


Particulate Matter PM_{2.5} at Eastbourne by day of the week, 2017



Particulate Matter PM_{2.5} at Eastbourne by day of the week, 1 Jun – 31 Aug 2017



Particulate Matter PM_{2.5} at Eastbourne by day of the week, 1 Jan – 28 Feb 2017



Data plotted using openair.

Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors

The diffusion tubes are sourced from Environmental Scientifics Group (ESG) in Didcot using the 50% TEA in acetone preparation method. The national bias adjustment factor was obtained from Defra national bias adjustment factor database (spreadsheet version number 03/18 published in March 2018) based on 27 co-location studies. The bias adjustment factor given for this methodology was 0.77.

Factor from Local Co-location Studies

Co-location studies are undertaken at three automatic analyser sites in Park Way Horsham, Cowfold and Storrington AURN. All three stations represent roadside sites. Using the AEA Precision and Accuracy spreadsheet tool a local bias adjustment factor of 0.82 for Park Way, 0.68 for Storrington and 0.85 for Cowfold site has been calculated. The three factors were combined using orthogonal regression to give the overall local bias adjustment factor of 0.78. Results of the 2017 co-location studies are given in Table C1, Table C2 and Table C3 below.

Table C1 – Co-location Study Data for HO2 Horsham Park Way, 2017

| Location | Diffusion Tube Data Capture for Periods Used (site Park Way) | Continuous Monitor Data Capture for Periods Used | Diffusion Tube Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Continuous Monitor Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Ratio |
|----------------------|--|--|---|---|-------|
| HO2 Horsham Park Way | 100% | 100% | 31 | 26 | 0.82 |

Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes

| Diffusion Tubes Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Period | Start Date dd/mm/yyyy | End Date dd/mm/yyyy | Tube 1 µgm ⁻³ | Tube 2 µgm ⁻³ | Tube 3 µgm ⁻³ | Triplicate Mean | Standard Deviation | Coefficient of Variation (CV) | 95% CI of mean |
| 1 | 06/01/2017 | 07/02/2017 | 41.7 | 35.2 | 41.8 | 40 | 3.8 | 10 | 9.4 |
| 2 | 07/02/2017 | 07/03/2017 | 33.0 | 36.7 | 32.9 | 34 | 2.2 | 6 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 07/03/2017 | 29/03/2017 | 34.2 | 34.8 | 31.4 | 33 | 1.8 | 5 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 29/03/2017 | 28/04/2017 | 32.9 | 34.5 | 36.2 | 35 | 1.7 | 5 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 28/04/2017 | 01/06/2017 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 28.4 | 28 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.5 |
| 6 | 01/06/2017 | 29/06/2017 | 25.8 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 25 | 0.9 | 3 | 2.1 |
| 7 | 29/06/2017 | 03/08/2017 | 22.8 | 23.4 | 25.5 | 24 | 1.4 | 6 | 3.5 |
| 8 | 03/08/2017 | 02/09/2017 | 24.9 | 23.8 | 24.4 | 24 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 9 | 02/09/2017 | 03/10/2017 | 27.0 | 28.6 | 27.0 | 28 | 0.9 | 3 | 2.3 |
| 10 | 03/10/2017 | 31/10/2017 | 29.5 | 29.6 | 29.4 | 30 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| 11 | 31/10/2017 | 02/12/2017 | 39.6 | 40.2 | 35.5 | 38 | 2.6 | 7 | 6.4 |
| 12 | 02/12/2017 | 04/01/2018 | 34.1 | 37.3 | 37.7 | 36 | 2.0 | 5 | 4.9 |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | |

| Automatic Method | | Data Quality Check | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Period Mean | Data Capture (% DC) | Tubes Precision Check | Automatic Monitor Data |
| 38.1 | 99 | Good | Good |
| 27.6 | 100 | Good | Good |
| 26.7 | 99.8 | Good | Good |
| 28.2 | 100 | Good | Good |
| 21 | 99.8 | Good | Good |
| 20 | 100 | Good | Good |
| 19 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 20 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 22 | 99.7 | Good | Good |
| 22 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 35 | 100 | Good | Good |
| 29.3 | 100 | Good | Good |

It is necessary to have results for at least two tubes in order to calculate the precision of the measurements

Overall survey -->


Good precision Good Overall DC
(Check average CV & DC from Accuracy calculations)

Site Name/ ID:

Precision 12 out of 12 periods have a CV smaller than 20%

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| without periods with CV larger than 20% | |
| Bias calculated using 12 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.82 (0.79 - 0.86) |
| Bias B | 21% (16% - 27%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 31 µgm ⁻³ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 5 |
| Automatic Mean: | 26 µgm ⁻³ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 26 (25 - 27) µgm ⁻³ |

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| WITH ALL DATA | |
| Bias calculated using 12 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.82 (0.79 - 0.86) |
| Bias B | 21% (16% - 27%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 31 µgm ⁻³ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 5 |
| Automatic Mean: | 26 µgm ⁻³ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 26 (25 - 27) µgm ⁻³ |



Jaume Targa, for AEA
Version 04 - February 2011

Table C2 – Co-location Study Data for HO4 Storrington AURN, 2017

| Location | Diffusion Tube Data Capture for Periods Used (site Storrington 8,9,10 AURN) | Continuous Monitor Data Capture for Periods Used | Diffusion Tube Annual Mean (µg/m ³) | Continuous Monitor Annual Mean (µg/m ³) | Ratio |
|----------------------|---|--|---|---|-------|
| HO4 Storrington AURN | 100% | 100% | 33 | 22 | 0.68 |

Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes

| Diffusion Tubes Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Period | Start Date dd/mm/yyyy | End Date dd/mm/yyyy | Tube 1 µgm ⁻³ | Tube 2 µgm ⁻³ | Tube 3 µgm ⁻³ | Triplicate Mean | Standard Deviation | Coefficient of Variation (CV) | 95% CI of mean |
| 1 | 04/01/2017 | 07/02/2017 | 35.7 | 42.7 | 34.7 | 38 | 4.4 | 12 | 10.8 |
| 2 | 07/02/2017 | 08/03/2017 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 34.5 | 35 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 3 | 08/03/2017 | 28/03/2017 | 34.6 | 39.1 | 34.3 | 36 | 2.7 | 7 | 6.7 |
| 4 | 28/03/2017 | 26/04/2017 | 37.7 | 37.1 | 33.9 | 36 | 2.0 | 6 | 5.1 |
| 5 | 26/04/2017 | 02/06/2017 | 34.3 | 36.1 | 32.6 | 34 | 1.8 | 5 | 4.3 |
| 6 | 02/06/2017 | 30/06/2017 | 28.1 | 27.9 | 28.8 | 28 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 30/06/2017 | 03/08/2017 | 27.8 | 30.3 | 27.3 | 28 | 1.6 | 6 | 4.0 |
| 8 | 03/08/2017 | 04/09/2017 | 28.3 | 30.6 | 31.7 | 30 | 1.7 | 6 | 4.3 |
| 9 | 04/09/2017 | 04/10/2017 | 30.5 | 31.9 | 28.7 | 30 | 1.6 | 5 | 4.0 |
| 10 | 04/10/2017 | 01/11/2017 | 29.2 | 30.7 | 29.9 | 30 | 0.8 | 3 | 1.9 |
| 11 | 01/11/2017 | 05/12/2017 | 37.9 | 37.2 | 37.6 | 38 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 05/12/2017 | 08/01/2018 | 26.0 | 30.0 | 31.5 | 29 | 2.8 | 10 | 7.1 |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | |

| Automatic Method | | Data Quality Check | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Period Mean | Data Capture (% DC) | Tubes Precision Check | Automatic Monitor Data |
| 33.4 | 99 | Good | Good |
| 21.9 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 22.7 | 98.8 | Good | Good |
| 25.3 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 24 | 99.5 | Good | Good |
| 18 | 99.6 | Good | Good |
| 17 | 99.6 | Good | Good |
| 20 | 99.7 | Good | Good |
| 20 | 99.6 | Good | Good |
| 19 | 99.6 | Good | Good |
| 27.9 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 20.5 | 100 | Good | Good |

It is necessary to have results for at least two tubes in order to calculate the precision of the measurements

Overall survey -->


Good precision Good Overall DC
(Check average CV & DC from Accuracy calculations)

Site Name/ ID:

Precision 12 out of 12 periods have a CV smaller than 20%

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| without periods with CV larger than 20% | |
| Bias calculated using 12 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.68 (0.64 - 0.73) |
| Bias B | 47% (37% - 57%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 33 µgm ⁻³ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 5 |
| Automatic Mean: | 22 µgm ⁻³ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 22 (21 - 24) µgm ⁻³ |

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| WITH ALL DATA | |
| Bias calculated using 12 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.68 (0.64 - 0.73) |
| Bias B | 47% (37% - 57%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 33 µgm ⁻³ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 5 |
| Automatic Mean: | 22 µgm ⁻³ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 22 (21 - 24) µgm ⁻³ |



Jaume Targa, for AEA
Version 04 - February 2011


Table C3 – Co-location Study Data for HO5 Cowfold, 2017

| Location | Diffusion Tube Data Capture for Periods Used (site Cowfold A,B,C) | Continuous Monitor Data Capture for Periods Used | Diffusion Tube Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Continuous Monitor Annual Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Ratio |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|-------|
| HO5 Cowfold | 100% | 100% | 35 | 29 | 0.85 |

Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes

| Diffusion Tubes Measurements | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Period | Start Date dd/mm/yyyy | End Date dd/mm/yyyy | Tube 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Tube 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Tube 3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Triplicate Mean | Standard Deviation | Coefficient of Variation (CV) | 95% CI of mean |
| 1 | 04/01/2017 | 07/02/2017 | 40.2 | 42.8 | 43.3 | 42 | 1.7 | 4 | 4.1 |
| 2 | 07/02/2017 | 07/03/2017 | 39.0 | 39.8 | 36.4 | 38 | 1.8 | 5 | 4.4 |
| 3 | 07/03/2017 | 29/03/2017 | 33.1 | 36.7 | 35.8 | 35 | 1.9 | 5 | 4.7 |
| 4 | 29/03/2017 | 28/04/2017 | 35.8 | 39.2 | 36.7 | 37 | 1.8 | 5 | 4.4 |
| 5 | 28/04/2017 | 02/06/2017 | 32.7 | 32.3 | 34.3 | 33 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.6 |
| 6 | 02/06/2017 | 30/06/2017 | 30.4 | 31.7 | 33.1 | 32 | 1.4 | 4 | 3.4 |
| 7 | 30/06/2017 | 03/08/2017 | 28.5 | 27.1 | 30.5 | 29 | 1.7 | 6 | 4.2 |
| 8 | 03/08/2017 | 02/09/2017 | 29.8 | 32.2 | 29.4 | 30 | 1.5 | 5 | 3.8 |
| 9 | 02/09/2017 | 03/10/2017 | 34.5 | 32.3 | 32.6 | 33 | 1.2 | 4 | 3.0 |
| 10 | 03/10/2017 | 01/11/2017 | 36.1 | 35.8 | 35.6 | 36 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 11 | 01/11/2017 | 04/12/2017 | 36.7 | 39.8 | 34.7 | 37 | 2.6 | 7 | 6.4 |
| 12 | 04/12/2017 | 05/01/2018 | 32.9 | 31.8 | 34.1 | 33 | 1.2 | 3 | 2.9 |
| 13 | | | | | | | | | |

It is necessary to have results for at least two tubes in order to calculate the precision of the measurements




| Automatic Method | | Data Quality Check | |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Period Mean | Data Capture (% DC) | Tubes Precision Check | Automatic Monitor Data |
| 36.5 | 99.1 | Good | Good |
| 27 | 98.7 | Good | Good |
| 23.8 | 99.6 | Good | Good |
| 26.5 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 25 | 99.8 | Good | Good |
| 28 | 99.7 | Good | Good |
| 30 | 99.8 | Good | Good |
| 28 | 99 | Good | Good |
| 26 | 72.3 | Good | or Data Capture |
| 29 | 99.9 | Good | Good |
| 35 | 99.7 | Good | Good |
| 35.1 | 99.9 | Good | Good |

Overall survey --> **Good precision** / **Good Overall DC**
(Check average CV & DC from Accuracy calculations)

Site Name/ ID:

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| without periods with CV larger than 20% | |
| Bias calculated using 11 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.85 (0.77 - 0.95) |
| Bias B | 18% (6% - 31%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 4 |
| Automatic Mean: | 29 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 30 (27 - 33) $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |

| Accuracy (with 95% confidence interval) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| WITH ALL DATA | |
| Bias calculated using 11 periods of data | |
| Bias factor A | 0.85 (0.77 - 0.95) |
| Bias B | 18% (6% - 31%) |
| Diffusion Tubes Mean: | 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| Mean CV (Precision): | 4 |
| Automatic Mean: | 29 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| Data Capture for periods used: | 100% |
| Adjusted Tubes Mean: | 30 (27 - 33) $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |



Jaume Targa, for AEA
Version 04 - February 2011

Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use

Local bias adjustment factor of 0.78 for 2017 monitoring data was derived from three separate co-location sites within Horsham district: HO2 Horsham Park Way (0.82, obtained using 12 periods of data), HO4 Storrington AURN (0.68, obtained using 12 periods of data) and HO5 Cowfold (0.85, obtained using 11 periods of data). The national bias adjustment factor for 2017 is 0.77.

Regarding the choice between the local and national factor, the technical guidance LAQM.TG (16) recommends the use of a local bias adjustment factor where concentrations measured in a co-location study are similar to those in the wider survey, where a co-location study had good precision and data capture for diffusion tubes and where the continuous monitoring results used in a co-location study were of high quality.

Data capture for the diffusion tube monitoring survey in 2017 was good as most sites achieved a 100% rate. Data capture for the co-location tubes was 100%.

The three co-location studies are carried out at roadside locations and majority of diffusion tube sites in the monitoring survey (37 out of 41) are roadside sites.

All three co-location studies had good data capture and tube precision.

Therefore, the local bias adjustment factor has been used in preference to a factor obtained from the national database.

The use of bias adjustment factors over the past few years has varied. A summary of factors used since 2007 is provided below in Table C4.

Table C4 – Co-location Study Data 2007 – 2017

| Year | Local or National Bias Adjustment Factor? | Value of Bias Adjustment Factor Used | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2007 | Local | 0.9 | Local bias was calculated from the HO2 Horsham Park Way co-location study. Diffusion tubes were prepared to the 10% TEA in water method and analysed by Bureau Veritas Laboratories. |
| 2008 | National | 0.93 | The national bias was considered more representative for the diffusion tube survey as a whole. The locally-derived bias from the HO2 Horsham Park Way co-location study was 0.9 based on 10 periods of data. Diffusion tubes were prepared using 50% TEA in acetone method and analysed by Bureau Veritas in Glasgow. |
| 2009 | National | 0.81 | The national bias was considered more representative for the diffusion tube survey as a whole. The locally-derived bias from the HO2 Horsham Park Way co-location study was 0.88 based on 10 periods of data. Diffusion tubes were prepared using 20% TEA in water method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group (formerly Bureau Veritas) in Glasgow. |
| 2010 | Local | 0.81 | The local bias was considered more representative for the diffusion tube survey as a whole. There was close agreement between the national and local bias adjustment factors at 0.84 and 0.81 respectively. The local bias adjustment factor was derived from two separate co-location sites: HO2 Horsham Park Way (based on 11 periods of data) and HO4 Storrington AURN (based on 10 periods of data); both studies produced the same bias factors. Diffusion tubes were prepared using 20% TEA in water method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group (formerly Bureau Veritas) in Glasgow. |
| 2011 | Local | 0.78 & 0.8 | The local bias was considered more representative for the diffusion tube survey as a whole. There was close agreement between the national and local bias adjustment factors. The national bias factor was 0.82 based on 5 studies. Three local bias adjustment factors were obtained: 0.78 for HO2 Horsham Park Way (based on 11 periods of data), 0.78 for HO4 Storrington AURN (based on 11 periods of data) and 0.8 for HO5 Cowfold (based on 9 periods of data). Diffusion tubes were prepared using 20% TEA in water method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group (formerly Bureau Veritas) in Glasgow. |

| Year | Local or National Bias Adjustment Factor? | Value of Bias Adjustment Factor Used | Comments |
|------|---|---|---|
| 2012 | National and Local | 0.79 (national); 0.89, 0.77 & 0.82 (local) | As there was limited agreement between the national and local bias adjustment factors the results have been corrected using both factors. The national bias factor was 0.79 based on 26 studies. Three local bias adjustment factors were obtained: 0.89 for HO2 Horsham Park Way (based on 11 periods of data), 0.77 for HO4 Storrington AURN (based on 12 periods of data) and 0.82 for HO5 Cowfold (based on 12 periods of data). Diffusion tubes were prepared using 50% TEA in acetone method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group in Didcot. |
| 2013 | National and Local | 0.8 (national); 0.92, 0.82 & 0.71 (local) | As there was limited agreement between the national and local bias adjustment factors the results have been corrected using both factors. The national bias factor was 0.8 based on 28 studies. Three local bias adjustment factors were obtained: 0.92 for HO2 Horsham Park Way (based on 12 periods of data), 0.82 for HO4 Storrington AURN (based on 12 periods of data) and 0.71 for HO5 Cowfold (based on 11 periods of data). Diffusion tubes were prepared using 50% TEA in acetone method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group in Didcot. |
| 2014 | National | 0.81 | The national bias was considered more representative for the diffusion tube survey as a whole. There was close agreement between the national and local bias adjustment factors. The national bias factor was 0.81 based on 30 studies. Two local bias adjustment factors were obtained: 0.85 for HO2 Horsham Park Way (based on 11 periods of data), 0.78 for HO4 Storrington AURN (based on 5 periods of data) and 0.78 for HO5 Cowfold (based on 6 periods of data). The factors for Storrington and Cowfold co-location studies were excluded due to poor data capture for both studies. Diffusion tubes were prepared using 50% TEA in acetone method and analysed by Environmental Scientifics Group in Didcot. |
| 2015 | Local | 0.81 | Diffusion tubes were exposed for 9-10 months in 2015 so a local bias factor derived from the Cowfold co-location study was considered better matched than using an annual (national database) factor. The value of the national database factor was similar to that of the local factor (0.81 based on 21 studies available at the time the report was written and 0.79 based on 29 studies available later in the year). |
| 2016 | Local | 0.78 | The local bias factor, derived from the three co-location studies, was considered to be more representative for the diffusion tube survey. All three co-location studies are carried out at roadside locations and majority of the diffusion tube sites in the survey are roadside sites. All three co-location studies had good data capture and tube precision in 2016. The national bias factor was 0.77, based on 30 studies. |

| Year | Local or National Bias Adjustment Factor? | Value of Bias Adjustment Factor Used | Comments |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2017 | Local | 0.78 | The local bias factor, derived from the three co-location studies, was considered to be more representative for the diffusion tube survey. The value of the national database factor was similar (0.77 based on 27 studies available at the time the report was written). |

Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

Annualisation (short to long term data adjustment) was applied to data capture below 75%.

The adjustment has been undertaken for three diffusion tube monitoring sites:

- Henfield 1n and Henfield 2n - as the diffusion tube was re-located from Golden Square to the High Street;
- Horsham 10n - as the site on Crawley Road was closed down;
- Southwater 1n – as the site was opened in May 2017.

The calculations presented in Tables C5 – C8 below were carried out in line with LAQM Technical Guidance LAQM Guidance TG(16) Box 7.9.

Data from continuous analysers did not require annualisation as all three continuous monitoring sites achieved data capture above 75% in 2017.

Table C5 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Henfield 1n Diffusion Tube Site

| Long Term Site | Site Type | Annual Mean 2017 (Am) | Period Mean 2017 (Pm) | Ratio (Am/Pm) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Brighton Preston Park | Urban Background | 16.9 | 18.8 | 0.900 |
| Eastbourne | Urban Background | 12.3 | 15.6 | 0.789 |
| Lullington Heath | Rural Background | 7.7 | 9.5 | 0.806 |
| Rochester Stoke | Rural Background | 14.7 | 16.0 | 0.917 |
| Thurrock | Urban Background | 28.1 | 29.9 | 0.939 |
| Reigate & Banstead - Horley | Suburban | 20.4 | 22.4 | 0.910 |
| | | | Average Annualisation Factor | 0.877 |

| Annualised Site | Site Type | Data Capture (%) | Unadjusted Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Bias Adjusted & Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|---|---|---|
| Henfield 1n | Roadside | 41.7 | 38.3 | 33.6 | 26.1 |

Table C6 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Henfield 2n Diffusion Tube Site

| Long Term Site | Site Type | Annual Mean 2017 (Am) | Period Mean 2017 (Pm) | Ratio (Am/Pm) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Brighton Preston Park | Urban Background | 16.9 | 15.7 | 1.079 |
| Eastbourne | Urban Background | 12.3 | 10.2 | 1.198 |
| Lullington Heath | Rural Background | 7.7 | 6.7 | 1.146 |
| Rochester Stoke | Rural Background | 14.7 | 14.0 | 1.049 |
| Thurrock | Urban Background | 28.1 | 26.7 | 1.052 |
| Reigate & Banstead - Horley | Suburban | 20.4 | 18.3 | 1.111 |
| | | | Average Annualisation Factor | 1.106 |

| Annualised Site | Site Type | Data Capture (%) | Unadjusted Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Bias Adjusted & Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|---|---|---|
| Henfield 2n | Roadside | 50% | 30.6 | 33.8 | 26.3 |

Table C7 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Horsham 10n Diffusion Tube Site

| Long Term Site | Site Type | Annual Mean 2017 (Am) | Period Mean 2017 (Pm) | Ratio (Am/Pm) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Brighton Preston Park | Urban Background | 16.9 | 22.4 | 0.755 |
| Eastbourne | Urban Background | 12.3 | 18.1 | 0.677 |
| Lullington Heath | Rural Background | 7.7 | 11.0 | 0.697 |
| Rochester Stoke | Rural Background | 14.7 | 18.6 | 0.790 |
| Thurrock | Urban Background | 28.1 | 33.4 | 0.841 |
| Reigate & Banstead - Horley | Suburban | 20.4 | 26.3 | 0.774 |
| | | | Average Annualisation Factor | 0.756 |

| Annualised Site | Site Type | Data Capture (%) | Unadjusted Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Bias Adjusted & Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) |
|-----------------|-----------|------------------|---|---|---|
| Horsham 10n | Roadside | 25% | 35.5 | 26.8 | 20.8 |

Table C8 – Short to Long Term Adjustment for NO₂ for Southwater 1n Diffusion Tube Site

| Long Term Site | Site Type | Annual Mean 2017 (Am) | Period Mean 2017 (Pm) | Ratio (Am/Pm) |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Brighton Preston Park | Urban Background | 16.9 | 15.2 | 1.110 |
| Eastbourne | Urban Background | 12.3 | 10.2 | 1.201 |
| Lullington Heath | Rural Background | 7.7 | 6.5 | 1.181 |
| Rochester Stoke | Rural Background | 14.7 | 13.5 | 1.082 |
| Thurrock | Urban Background | 28.1 | 26.2 | 1.071 |
| Reigate & Banstead - Horley | Suburban | 20.4 | 18.6 | 1.096 |
| | | | Average Annualisation Factor | 1.124 |

| Annualised | Site Type | Data | Unadjusted | Annualised | Bias Adjusted |
|------------|-----------|------|------------|------------|---------------|
|------------|-----------|------|------------|------------|---------------|

| Site | | Capture (%) | Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) | & Annualised Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) |
|---------------|----------|-------------|--|--|---|
| Southwater 1n | Roadside | 66.7% | 28.1 | 31.5 | 24.5 |

PM10 Monitoring Adjustment

The PM₁₀ monitoring data from the HO2 Horsham Parkway analyser has been corrected by King's College London in accordance with the Volatile Correction Model (VCM)¹⁶.

QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring

Data collection and ratification for the Park Way and Cowfold monitoring stations is undertaken by the Environmental Research Group, Kings College, through a contract with the Sussex Air Partnership. For more information, please visit the Sussex Air Quality Partnership website at <http://www.sussex-air.net>. The operation and data management for both stations is carried out to the AURN standards, however, the data quality could be further improved if independent inter calibrations site audits were carried out (these are a requirement for AURN sites).

The Storrington monitoring station is an AURN affiliated site managed primarily by AEA Technology in accordance with the 'QA/QC Procedures for the UK Automatic Urban and Rural Air Quality Monitoring Network (AURN)'.

Calibrations and checks at all stations are undertaken every four weeks by external Local Site Operators and the analysers are maintained under contract with instrument suppliers/manufacturers for all three stations.

QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring

Laboratories participate in two QA/QC schemes. The new AIR-PT Scheme (a continuation of the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP)) is run by LGC and supported by the Health & Safety Laboratory. The other scheme is a monthly field intercomparison exercise managed by the AEA. Defra advises that local authorities should use diffusion tubes supplied by laboratories that have demonstrated satisfactory performance under the QA/QC schemes.

ESG Didcot is a UKAS accredited laboratory and participates in both QA/QC schemes described above. The list of those laboratories which have performed satisfactorily in the AIR-PT scheme is provided to local authorities on the LAQM Support website¹⁷. In the latest available AIR-PT results, rounds AR018 (January to February 2017), AR 019 (April to May 2017), AR021 (July to August 2017) and AR022 (September to October 2017) ESG Didcot have scored consistently 100%. The percentage score reflects the results deemed to be satisfactory based upon the z-score of < ± 2. Based on 24 co-location studies with triplicate

¹⁶ <http://www.volatile-correction-model.info/Default.aspx>

¹⁷ <https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/assets/AIR-PT-Rounds-13-to-24-Apr-2016-Feb-2018.pdf>

tubes from ESG Didcot utilising the 50% TEA, all of those studies in 2017 were rated as ‘good’ (tubes are considered to have “good” precision where the coefficient of variation of duplicate or triplicate diffusion tubes for eight or more periods during the year is less than 20%).

Regarding the inter-comparison co-location study, the study from Marylebone Road from the national database in 2017 was rated as ‘good’ (tubes are considered to have “good” precision where the coefficient of variation of duplicate or triplicate diffusion tubes for eight or more periods during the year is less than 20%).

Monitoring Results – Distance Correction

Site – Storrington 14n – Cobden, Manleys Hill (Washington Road), Storrington

Figure C1 – Nitrogen Dioxide Fall off with Distance Calculation – Diffusion Tube Storrington 14n (2017)





| | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------|
|  | |  | |
| Enter data into the red cells | | | |
| Step 1 | How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)? | 0.9 | metres |
| Step 2 | How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? | 20.9 | metres |
| Step 3 | What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? | 8.5 | µg/m ³ |
| Step 4 | What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? | 43 | µg/m ³ |
| Result | The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³) at your receptor | 21.6 | µg/m ³ |

Figure C2 – Nitrogen Dioxide Fall off with Distance Calculation – Diffusion Tube Cowfold 7n (2017)

| | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|
|  | |  | |
| Enter data into the red cells | | | |
| Step 1 | How far from the KERB was your measurement made (in metres)? | 1.1 | metres |
| Step 2 | How far from the KERB is your receptor (in metres)? | 2 | metres |
| Step 3 | What is the local annual mean background NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? | 10.1 | µg/m ³ |
| Step 4 | What is your measured annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³)? | 43.8 | µg/m ³ |
| Result | The predicted annual mean NO ₂ concentration (in µg/m ³) at your receptor | 39.7 | µg/m ³ |

Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs

Figure D1 – Location of Horsham Monitoring Station




Figure D2 – Location of Storrington Air Quality Monitoring Station




Figure D3 – Location of Cowfold Air Quality Monitoring Station




Figure D4 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Horsham




Figure D5 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Storrington




Figure D6 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Cowfold




Figure D7 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Henfield




Figure D8 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Pulborough




Figure D9 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Billingshurst




Figure D10 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Southwater





Figure D11 – Locations of Diffusion Tube Monitoring Sites – Steyning



Appendix E: Industrial Processes

Within Horsham District Council there are a number of industrial processes that are controlled through permits issued under the Environmental permitting regime. Depending on the nature of the process, permits are issued either by the Environment Agency or by Horsham District Council

There are 10 Part A1 installations in the Horsham District Council area operating under permits issued by the Environment Agency. Details of these processes are given in Table E1 below. There are also 37 Part B/A2 processes in the district with permits issued by Horsham District Council ; details of those are provided in Table E2 .

Table E1 – Industrial Processes with Permits Issued by the Environment Agency, 2017

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| Biffa Waste Services Ltd Brookhurstwood Landfill Langhurstwood Road Warnham West Sussex RH12 4QD Permits : GP3837YD, BP3835EE, VP3037EQ | Faccenda Group Limited Homefield Poultry Farm Broadford Bridge Road Billingshurst RH14 9EB Permit KP3336VT | Kinswood Eggs Limited Lackehurst Lane Brooks Green Horsham RH13 0JO Permit QP3330AX |
| Cemex UK Materials Ltd Small Dole Leachate Treatment Plant Small Dole Landfill Henfield Road Small Dole West Sussex BN59XJ Permit QP3638YM | Hensel Recycling (UK) Ltd_ Maydwell Avenue, Slinford Permit EP3439DW | Viridor Waste Management Small Dole Landfill Henfield Road Small Dole Permit UP3635YS |
| Charles Muddle Limited_ Adversane Lane, Billingshurst Permit GP3338YC | Island Gas Ltd Storrington Oilfield East of A283 Cootham Storrington Pulborough Permit QP3632WN | |
| Four Seasons Fuel Four Seasons Farm Coneyhurst Nr. Billingshurst RH14 9DG Permit SP3632UN | Kimmeridge Oil & Gas Limited Woodbridge Farm, Adversane Ln, Billingshurst Permit AP3830JT | |

Table E2 – Industrial Processes in 2017

| Horsham DC Processes 2016/17 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Part B Processes | | | | |
| Permit No. | Name | Process Type | Date Issued | Grid Reference |
| EPR4 | Eurovia | Mobile Roadcoating | 08/05/2013 | 517107, 130838 |
| EPR8 | Eurovia | Mobile Roadcoating | 25/10/2013 | 517107, 130838 |
| PPC10 | Cemex | Bulk Cement | 07/05/2008 | 510035, 114152 |
| PPC11 | Hawkins | Animal Incineration | 02/01/2004 | 520793, 121379 |
| EPR19 | Thakeham Tiles | Bulk Cement | 24/03/1993 | 510343, 115074 |
| EPR33 | Apollo Motor Company | Vehicle Refinishing | 14/11/2011 | 516988, 136798 |
| PPC34 | Harwoods Bodyshop Five Oaks | Vehicle Refinishing | 29/01/2007 | 509877, 128507 |
| PPC53 | PJ Brown Ltd | Mobile Crusher | 18/03/2008 | 524039, 139393 |
| EPR5 | Edburton (Metrotrak) | Mobile Crusher | 03/06/2013 | 522381, 111584 |
| EPR41 | Revival, Southwater | Dry Cleaning | 01/12/2005 | 515746, 126351 |
| EPR13 | Dudman Group Ltd, Storrington | Bulk Cement | 10/01/2013 | 509219, 113677 |
| PPC50 | Edburton (Apollo) | Mobile Crusher | 10/04/2007 | 522381, 111584 |
| EPR43 | Taylors Dry Cleaners | Dry Cleaning | 10/09/2007 | 516284, 131098 |
| EPR44 | Pulborough Cleaners | Dry Cleaning | 17/09/2007 | 505192, 186007 |
| EPR45 | Hurst Cleaners | Dry Cleaning | 17/09/2007 | 508689, 126127 |
| EPR46 | Rapide Dry Clean | Dry Cleaning | 24/09/2007 | 516813, 130733 |
| EPR47 | Johnson Cleaners UK Ltd | Dry Cleaning | 17/02/2010 | 517339, 130595 |
| EPR48 | JD Cleaners (Henfield) Ltd | Dry Cleaning | 17/02/2010 | 521486, 116009 |
| EPR49 | Gem Cleaners, Storrington | Dry Cleaning | 10/02/2010 | 508955, 114461 |
| PPC54 | Washington Coachworks Ltd | Vehicle Refinishing | 03/04/2008 | 512105, 113826 |
| EPR15 | Hanson Concrete, Foundry Lane | Cement Storage | 22/11/2010 | 518037, 131450 |
| Part B Petrol Filling Stations | | | | |
| PSS1 | Horsham Service Station, Redkilm Way | Petrol Storage | 10/11/1997 | 518630, 131620 |
| PSS2 | J Sainsbury PFS, Worthing Road, Horsham | Petrol Storage | 19/08/1998 | 516870, 130396 |
| PSS3 | Tesco PFS, Broadbridge Heath | Petrol Storage | 02/06/2008 | 515065, 130944 |
| PSS4 | Harwoods Garages Ltd, Pulborough | Petrol Storage | 23/11/1998 | 504978, 119042 |
| PSS6 | Motor Fuel Group, Beeding Garage | Petrol Storage | 18/02/2009 | 519674, 110411 |
| PSS7 | Shell UK Ltd, Hop Oast, Horsham | Petrol Storage | 21/12/1998 | 516066, 128571 |
| PSS8 | Shell UK Ltd, Broadbridge Heath | Petrol Storage | 21/12/1998 | 515446, 131355 |
| PSS10 | Storrington Service Station | Petrol Storage | 23/11/1998 | 508383, 114431 |
| PSS11 | Elite Garages Ltd, Pulborough | Petrol Storage | 13/05/1999 | 504793, 118862 |
| PSS12 | Elite Garages Ltd, Mannings Heath | Petrol Storage | 11/05/1999 | 519933, 128705 |
| PSS14 | Shell Service Station (804) Henfield | Petrol Storage | 03/03/1999 | 521480, 115741 |
| PSS18 | Harwoods Garages Ltd, Five Oaks | Petrol Storage | 26/02/2007 | 509916, 128496 |
| PSS19 | Godfreys of Horsham, Southwater | Petrol Storage | 22/02/1999 | 515683, 126711 |
| PSS20 | Buck Barn Garage, West Grinstead | Petrol Storage | 25/03/2013 | 516496, 122631 |
| IPPC A2 | | | | |
| IPPC3 | Wienerberger Ltd, Warnham Works | Brickworks | 23/05/2006 | 517057, 134348 |
| IPPC7 | Ibstock Brick, Laybrook Factory | Brickworks | 1/18/2011 | 511388, 118887 |

Appendix F: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Table F1 – Air Quality Objectives included in Regulations for the purpose of LAQM in England

| Pollutant | Air Quality Objective | | Date to be achieved by |
|--|--|----------------|------------------------|
| | Concentration | Measured as | |
| Nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) | 200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year | 1-hour mean | 31.12.2005 |
| | 40 µg/m ³ | Annual mean | 31.12.2005 |
| Particles (PM₁₀) (gravimetric) | 50 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year | 24-hour mean | 31.12.2004 |
| | 40 µg/m ³ | Annual mean | 31.12.2004 |
| Sulphur dioxide | 350 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year | 1-hour mean | 31.12.2004 |
| | 125 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year | 24-hour mean | 31.12.2004 |
| | 266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year | 15-minute mean | 31.12.2005 |

Glossary of Terms

| Abbreviation | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| AIR-PT | Proficiency Testing scheme for laboratories involved in air quality analysis |
| AQAP | Air Quality Action Plan – A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values' |
| AQMA | Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives |
| ASR | Air Quality Annual Status Report |
| AURN | Automatic Urban and Rural Network (UK air quality monitoring network) |
| CLC | County Local Committee |
| CYC | Charge-Your-Car eV charge point network |
| Defra | Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs |
| DfT | Department for Transport |
| EH | Environmental Health |
| EV | Electric Vehicle |
| FDMS | Filter Dynamics Measurement System |
| HDC | Horsham District Council |
| HDPF | Horsham District Planning Framework |
| HE | Highways England |
| IWP | Integrated Works Programme |
| LAQM | Local Air Quality Management |
| LE | Low Emission |
| LEV | Low Emission Vehicle |
| LSTF | Local Sustainable Transport Fund |
| LTIP | Local Transport Investment Programme |
| NO ₂ | Nitrogen dioxide |
| NO _x | Nitrogen oxides |
| OLEV | Office for Low Emission Vehicles |
| PM ₁₀ | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less |
| PM _{2.5} | Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less |
| QA/QC | Quality Assurance/Quality Control |
| STIP | Strategic Transport Investment Programme |
| TRO | Traffic Regulation Order |
| ULEV | Ultra-Low Emission Vehicles |
| WASP | Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency |
| WSCC | West Sussex County Council |
| TEA | Triethanolamine |

References

AEA (2008) *Diffusion Tubes for Ambient NO₂ Monitoring: Practical Guidance for Laboratories and Users*. Report to Defra and the Devolved Administrations.

AEAT (2009) *QA/QC Procedures for the UK Automatic Urban and Rural Air Quality Monitoring Network (AURN)*. AEAT/ENV/R/2837. September 2009

Air Quality Expert Group (AQEG) (2012) *Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) in the United Kingdom*.

Air Quality Expert Group (AQEG) (2007) *Trends in Primary Nitrogen Dioxide in the United Kingdom*.

Air Quality Expert Group (AQEG) (2005) *Report on Particulate Matter in the United Kingdom*.

Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (DETR) (2000) *The Air Quality (England) Regulations*. HMSO.

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2016) *Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance*. LAQM.TG(16).

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2016) *Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance*. LAQM.PG(16).

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2007) *The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland: Vol. 1 and Vol. 2*

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2002) *The Air Quality (England) (Amendment) Regulations*. HMSO.

Eastbourne Borough Council (2017) *LAQM 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)*.

Horsham District Council (2017) *LAQM 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)*.

Horsham District Council (2015) *LAQM Updating and Screening Assessment*.

Horsham District Council (2014) *Planning Advice Document: Air Quality and Emissions Reduction Guidance*.

LAQM Helpdesk (2016) *Summary of Laboratory Performance in AIR NO₂ Proficiency Testing Scheme (April 2014 – February 2016)*.

Reigate and Banstead Borough Council (2016) *LAQM 2016 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)*.

Reigate and Banstead Borough Council (2011) *LAQM 2010 Progress Report*.

The Environment Act (1995)

The Pollution Prevention and Control Act (1999)

LAQM Annual Status Report 2018

REPORT ENDS